RINGS ISOMORPHIC TO THEIR NONTRIVIAL SUBRINGS

JACOB LOJEWSKI AND GREG OMAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a nontrivial group, and assume that $G \cong H$ for every nontrivial subgroup H of G. It is a simple matter to prove that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ for some prime p. In this note, we address the analogous (though harder) question for rings; that is, we find all nontrivial rings R for which $R \cong S$ for every nontrivial subring S of R.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of "same structure" is ubiquitous in mathematics. Indeed, the concept appears as early as high school geometry where congruence of angles and similarity of triangles are studied. One then learns the analogous concept for groups in a first course on modern algebra, where two groups G and H have the same structure if there is a bijection $f: G \to H$ with the property that f(xy) = f(x)f(y) for all $x, y \in G$. Such an f is called an *isomorphism* from G to H; if such an f exists, then we say that G and H are *isomorphic*, and write $G \cong H$. There exist many groups which are isomorphic to a proper subgroup. For example, the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is isomorphic to (E, +), where E is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z} consisting of the even integers. More generally, since every nontrivial subgroup of an infinite cyclic group is also infinite cyclic, and since every infinite cyclic group is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, it follows that the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is inordinately homogeneous in the sense that all nontrivial subgroups are isomorphic.

More generally, a mathematical structure \mathfrak{M} is called κ -homogeneous (κ an infinite cardinal of size at most $|\mathfrak{M}|$) provided any two substructures of cardinality κ are isomorphic [3, 5, 6]. A related mathematical object called a Jónsson group is an infinite group G such that every proper subgroup of G has smaller cardinality than G; in this case, note that G is |G|-homogeneous. It is well-known (see [7]) that the only abelian Jónsson groups are the quasi-cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$, p a prime, which is isomorphic to the subgroup of the factor group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} consisting of those elements whose order is a power of p. If one does not assume G to be abelian, then the situation becomes much more complicated. Saharon Shelah was the first to construct an example of a Kurosh monster, which is a group of size \aleph_1 in which all proper subgroups are countable. It is still an open problem to determine whether a Jónsson group of size \aleph_{ω} can be shown to exist in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory with Choice (ZFC); we refer the reader to the excellent survey [2] for more details.

Laffey characterized the countably infinite rings R for which every proper subring of R is finite [4]. An infinite ring R with the property that every proper subring of R has smaller cardinality

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary:16B99; Secondary:20K99.

Key Words and Phrases. direct sum, integral domain, polynomial ring, quotient field, reduced ring, zero divisor.

than R is called a Jónsson ring. It is known that any uncountable Jónsson ring is necessarily a noncommutative division ring. The existence of such a ring has yet to be established [2]. It is apparently a very difficult problem to classify all rings R for which $R \cong S$ for every subring S of size |R|, since doing so would automatically classify the Jónsson rings. In view of these results, we take a more modest approach in this paper and consider the problem of classifying those nontrivial rings R for which $R \cong S$ for every nontrivial subring S of R.

2. Results

We begin by fixing terminology. First, all rings will assumed to be associative, but not necessarily commutative or unital. Indeed, commutativity of the rings studied in this paper can be deduced rather quickly (so it need not be assumed), and many important and well-studied classes of rings do not contain an identity. For example, Leavitt path algebras on graphs with infinitely many vertices *never* contain an identity (see [1], Lemma 1.2.12(iv)). If R is a ring, then a *subring* of R is a nonempty subset S of R which is closed under addition, multiplication, and negatives. It is important to note that in this article, we do *not* require a subring of a unital ring to contain an identity. For the purposes of this note, say that a ring R (respectively, group G) is *homogeneous* if R is nontrivial and $R \cong S$ for all nontrivial subrings S of R (respectively, if G is nontrivial and $G \cong H$ for every nontrivial subgroups H of G).

We begin our investigation by first classifying the homogeneous groups.

Lemma 1. Let G be a group. Then G is homogeneous if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ for some prime p or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Because (by Lagrange's Theorem) $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ has no proper, nontrivial subgroups (that is, $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ is *simple*), we see that $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ is trivially homogeneous. As for the additive group \mathbb{Z} of integers, if H is a nontrivial subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , then H is an infinite cyclic group, hence $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We deduce that \mathbb{Z} is a homogeneous group.

Conversely, suppose that G is a homogeneous group. Let g be a nonidentity element of G. Then $G \cong \langle g \rangle$, and thus G is cyclic. If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Thus suppose that G is finite. If H is a proper subgroup of G, then |H| < |G|; thus $H \ncong G$. As G is homogeneous, it follows that G is simple. It is well-known that the only nontrivial simple abelian groups are the groups $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ where p is a prime. To keep the paper self-contained, we give the argument. We have already noted above that for a prime p, the group $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ is simple. Conversely, suppose that G is simple, and let $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ be arbitrary. The simplicity of G implies that $G = \langle g \rangle$, and so G is cyclic. Because \mathbb{Z} has proper, nontrivial subgroups, we deduce that G is a finite cyclic group, say of order n > 1. It remains to show that n is prime. If n = rs for some integers r and s with 1 < r, s < n, then $\langle g^r \rangle$ is a proper, nontrivial subgroup of G, contradicting that G is simple. This concludes the proof. \Box

We arrive at the main result of this note, which classifies the homogeneous rings. As the reader will see, the argument we give to prove the ring version of Lemma 1 is more complicated than the argument just given above.

Theorem 1. Let R be a ring. Then R is homogeneous if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) $R \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, the field of p elements, where p is a prime number,
- (ii) $R \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ with trivial multiplication (that is, xy = 0 for all x and y), or
- (iii) $R \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with trivial multiplication.

Proof. Consider first the field \mathbb{F}_p , where p is prime. If S is a nontrivial subring of \mathbb{F}_p , then under addition, S is a nontrivial subgroup of $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$. By Lagrange's Theorem, $S = \mathbb{F}_p$, and thus $S \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ as rings. The same argument shows that $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ with trivial multiplication is homogeneous. As for (iii), suppose that S is a nontrivial subring of \mathbb{Z} (with trivial multiplication). Then additively, S is a nontrivial subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. By Lemma 1, $(S, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, +)$; let $f: S \to \mathbb{Z}$ be an additive isomorphism. Because the multiplication on \mathbb{Z} is trivial, it follows that f is also a ring isomorphism. We have verified that the rings in (i) - (iii) are homogeneous.

We now work toward establishing the converse. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $m\mathbb{Z}$ be the subring of \mathbb{Z} consisting of all integer multiples of m. We claim that

(2.1) the ring
$$m\mathbb{Z}$$
 is not homogeneous for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If m = 0, then $m\mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$, thus is not homogeneous by definition. If |m| = 1, then observe that $m\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \not\cong 2\mathbb{Z}$ since the ring \mathbb{Z} has an identity but the ring $2\mathbb{Z}$ does not. Now suppose that |m| > 1. Then $m\mathbb{Z}$ has a nonzero element α (namely m) such that $\alpha^2 = m\alpha$, yet the subring $m^2\mathbb{Z}$ does not possess such an element. To see this, suppose that $\beta \in m^2\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ is such that $\beta^2 = m\beta$. We have $\beta = m^2 n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$. Thus $m^4n^2 = \beta^2 = m\beta = m(m^2n)$. But then mn = 1, and m is a unit of \mathbb{Z} , which is impossible because |m| > 1. We conclude that $m\mathbb{Z} \ncong m^2\mathbb{Z}$. This completes the verification of (2.1).

Next, for a nonzero element r of a ring R, let $r\mathbb{Z}[r] := \{m_1r + m_2r^2 + \cdots + m_kr^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the subring of R generated by r. If $f : r\mathbb{Z}[r] \to R$ is a ring isomorphism, then one can see that $R = f(r)\mathbb{Z}[f(r)]$. Hence

(2.2) if R is a homogeneous ring, then $R = r\mathbb{Z}[r]$ for some $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Thus R is commutative.

Now let D be a commutative domain with identity $1 \neq 0$, and let $D[X^2, X^3]$ be the ring generated by D, X^2 , and X^3 , where X is an indeterminate which commutes with the members of D. Consider the ideal $\langle X^2, X^3 \rangle$ of $D[X^2, X^3]$ generated by X^2 and X^3 . We claim that

(2.3)
$$\langle X^2, X^3 \rangle$$
 is not a principal ideal of $D[X^2, X^3]$.

Note first that

lest X be a unit of D[X]. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\langle X^2, X^3 \rangle = \langle f(X) \rangle$ for some $f(X) \in D[X^2, X^3]$. Then $X^2 | f(X)$ and $f(X) | X^2$ in the ring D[X]. We deduce that $f(X) = uX^2$ for some unit $u \in D$. Because $f(X) | X^3$ in the ring $D[X^2, X^3]$, we have $uX^2g(X) = X^3$ for some $g(X) \in D[X^2, X^3]$. But then $X = u \cdot g(X) \in D[X^2, X^3]$, contradicting (2.4). We have now

established (2.3). Next, let XD[X] be the subring of D[X] consisting of all $f(X) \in D[X]$ for which f(0) = 0. We prove that

 $(2.5) XD[X] ext{ is not homogeneous.}$

Suppose otherwise, and let R be the subring of XD[X] generated by X^2 and X^3 . Then R is also homogeneous, and by (2.2), there is $f(X) \in R$ such that $R = f(X)\mathbb{Z}[f(X)]$. Next, let I be the ideal of $D[X^2, X^3]$ generated by R. Then it follows that $I = \langle X^2, X^3 \rangle = \langle f(X) \rangle$, and we have a contradiction to (2.3) above.

Finally, we are ready to classify the homogeneous rings. Toward this end, let R be an arbitrary homogeneous ring. We shall prove that one of (i)–(iii) holds. Suppose first that R possesses a nonzero nilpotent element α . Let n > 1 be least such that $\alpha^n = 0$. Setting $\beta := \alpha^{n-1}$, we have $\beta \neq 0$, yet $\beta^2 = 0$. Let $S := \{m\beta : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. One checks at once that S is a nonzero subring of Rwith trivial multiplication. Because R is homogeneous, $R \cong S$; hence R is a nontrivial ring with trivial multiplication. But then every subgroup of R is a subring of R. The homogeneity of R gives $H \cong K$ for any nontrivial subgroups H and K of (R, +). Applying Lemma 1, we see that either (ii) or (iii) holds.

Thus we assume that

(2.6) R is reduced, that is, R has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Our next assertion is that

(2.7) R has no nonzero zero divisors.

Suppose by way of contradiction that $r_0 \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is a zero divisor. Let $T_1 := r_0 \mathbb{Z}[r_0]$ and $S_1 := \{r \in R : rT_1 = \{0\}\}$. We have seen that T_1 is a nonzero subring of R. As R is commutative (by (2.2)) and r_0 is a zero divisor, S_1 is a *nonzero* subring of R. Because R is reduced, it follows immediately that

(2.8)
$$S_1 \cap T_1 = \{0\}, \text{ and } xy = 0 \text{ for all } x \in S_1 \text{ and } y \in T_1.$$

As R is homogeneous, $R \cong S_1$. We conclude that there exist nonzero subrings S_2 and T_2 of S_1 such that $S_2 \cap T_2 = \{0\}$ and xy = 0 for all $x \in S_2$ and $y \in T_2$. Continuing recursively and setting $S_0 := T_0 := R$, we obtain sequences $\{S_n : n \ge 0\}$ and $\{T_n : n \ge 0\}$ of nonzero subrings of R such that for every $n \ge 0$, S_{n+1} and T_{n+1} are nonzero subrings of S_n such that $S_{n+1} \cap T_{n+1} = \{0\}$ and xy = 0 for all $x \in S_{n+1}$ and $y \in T_{n+1}$. Next, we establish that for all positive integers k:

(2.9) if
$$n_1, \ldots, n_k > 0$$
 are distinct, and $t_1 + \cdots + t_k = 0$ with $t_i \in T_{n_i}$, then each $t_i = 0$.

To prove this, we induct on k. Note that the base case of the induction is the assertion that if $t_1 = 0$ and $t_1 \in T_{n_1}$, then $t_1 = 0$, which is true. Suppose that the claim holds for some k > 0, and let $0 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_{k+1}$ and t_1, \ldots, t_{k+1} be such that $t_1 + \cdots + t_{k+1} = 0$ with $t_i \in T_{n_i}$ for all

 $1 \leq i \leq k$. One checks that $t_2, \ldots, t_{k+1} \in S_{n_1}$; set $\alpha := t_2 + \cdots + t_{k+1}$. Then $t_1 + \alpha = 0, t_1 \in T_{n_1}$, and $\alpha \in S_{n_1}$. Since $S_{n_1} \cap T_{n_1} = \{0\}$, it follows that $t_1 = \alpha = 0$. Applying the inductive hypothesis, we see that $t_2 = \cdots = t_{k+1} = 0$, and (2.9) is verified. We further claim that

(2.10) if
$$0 < n < m$$
 and $x \in T_n, y \in T_m$, then $xy = 0$.

This is straightforward: as above, $y \in S_n$, and the result follows. We deduce from (2.9), (2.10), and the homogeneity of R that R is isomorphic to the internal direct sum of the rings T_n , n > 0. More compactly,

(2.11)
$$R \cong \bigoplus_{n>0} T_n.$$

Thus $\bigoplus_{n>0} T_n$ is homogeneous. By (2.2), there is $(r_n) := r \in \bigoplus_{n>0} T_n$ such that $\bigoplus_{n>0} T_n = r\mathbb{Z}[r]$. Now, almost all $r_i = 0$. Thus there is a k such that if $r_i \neq 0$, then $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. But then for every $(\alpha_n) := \alpha \in r\mathbb{Z}[r]$: if $\alpha_i \neq 0$, then $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Since $\bigoplus_{n>0} T_n = r\mathbb{Z}[r]$, we deduce that the same is true of every member of $\bigoplus_{n>0} T_n$. But of course, this is absurd: recall that each T_i is a nonzero ring, so for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exists a sequence $(t_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in \bigoplus_{n>0} T_n$ such that $t_k \neq 0$. Finally, we have proven (2.7).

We pause to take inventory of what we have established thus far. By (2.2) and (2.7), R is a commutative domain, though we have not yet proven that R has a multiplicative identity. Let $K := \{\frac{a}{b}: a \in R, b \in R \setminus \{0\}\}$ be the quotient field of R. It is well-known that R embeds into K via the map $r \mapsto \frac{rd}{d}$, where $d \in R$ is some fixed nonzero element of R. We identity R with its image in K. Now let D be the subring of K generated by 1. Fix some nonzero $r \in R$. One checks at once that rD[r] is a nonzero subring of R, whence

$$(2.12) R \cong rD[r].$$

The map $\varphi \colon XD[X] \to rD[r]$ defined by $\varphi(Xg(X)) := rg(r)$ is a surjective ring map. We apply (2.12) to conclude that rD[r] is homogeneous. Therefore, (2.5) implies that the kernel of φ is nonzero. Choose a nonzero polynomial $Xf(X) := d_1X + d_2X^2 + \cdots + d_nX^n \in XD[X]$ of minimal degree n for which rf(r) = 0. We claim that

$$(2.13) d_1 \neq 0.$$

If n = 1, this follows since $Xf(X) \neq 0$. Suppose now that n > 1. If $d_1 = 0$, then we have $d_2r^2 + \cdots + d_nr^n = 0$. Recalling that R is a domain and $r \neq 0$, this equation reduces to $d_2r + \cdots + d_nr^{n-1} = 0$, and this contradicts the minimality of n. So we have

(2.14)
$$d_1r + d_2r^2 + \dots + d_nr^n = 0 \text{ and } d_1 \neq 0.$$

Viewing the above equation in the quotient field K of R, we may divide through by r to get $d_1 + d_2r + \cdots + d_nr^{n-1} = 0$. Solving the equation for d_1 , we see that

 $(2.15) d_1 \in R.$

Recall that $d_1 \in D$, the ring generated by 1_K (the multiplicative identity of K). Thus $d_1 = m \cdot 1_K$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because K is a field, either $D \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $D \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ for some prime p. In the former case, it follows from (2.13), (2.15), and the homogeneity of R that $R \cong m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, this is precluded by (2.1). We deduce that $D \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ for some prime p. But then by (2.15), we see that (up to isomorphism) $d_1 \in (\mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}) \cap R$. Applying homogeneity a final time, we see that R is isomorphic to the ring generated by d_1 . Thus, as $d_1 \neq 0$, $R \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, and the proof is complete.

We conclude the paper with the following corollary, which characterizes the fields of order p.

Corollary 1. Let R be a ring with nontrivial multiplication. Then R is a field with p elements (p a prime) if and only if any two nontrivial subrings of R are isomorphic.

Acknowledgment. We thank the referee for an extremely thorough reading of our paper and for offering numerous helpful suggestions.

References

- G. Abrams, P. Ara, M.S. Molina, *Leavitt path algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 2191, Springer-Verlag, 2017.
- [2] E. Coleman, Jónsson groups, rings and algebras, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. 36 (1996), 34-45.
- [3] M. Droste, κ -homogeneous relations and tournaments, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 40 (1989), no. 157, 1–11.

[4] T. Laffey, Infinite rings with all proper subrings finite, Amer. Math. Monthly 81 (1974), 270–272.

- [5] G. Oman, More results on congruent modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), no. 11, 2147–2155.
- [6] G. Oman, On elementarily κ -homogeneous unary structures, Forum Math 23 (2011), no. 4, 791–802.
- [7] W.R. Scott, Groups and cardinal numbers, Amer. J. Math. 74 (1952), 187–197.

(Jacob Lojewski) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80918, USA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \verb"jlojewsk@uccs.edu"$

(Greg Oman) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, COLORADO SPRINGS, COL-ORADO SPRINGS, CO 80918, USA

E-mail address: goman@uccs.edu