

MATH 2150 Autumn 2022 Lecture 1

Greg Oman

University of Colorado
Colorado Springs

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*.

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*. One of the main aims of theoretical mathematics is to evaluate the truth/falsity of various assertions, also known as propositions.

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*. One of the main aims of theoretical mathematics is to evaluate the truth/falsity of various assertions, also known as propositions. Indeed, at its heart, mathematics is all about deriving truths from some set of assumptions.

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*. One of the main aims of theoretical mathematics is to evaluate the truth/falsity of various assertions, also known as propositions. Indeed, at its heart, mathematics is all about deriving truths from some set of assumptions. You may have the impression that all there is to math is applying formulas to solve problems, but your perception will change in this course.

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*. One of the main aims of theoretical mathematics is to evaluate the truth/falsity of various assertions, also known as propositions. Indeed, at its heart, mathematics is all about deriving truths from some set of assumptions. You may have the impression that all there is to math is applying formulas to solve problems, but your perception will change in this course.

Definition

A **proposition** is a statement which is unambiguously true or false (but not both).

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*. One of the main aims of theoretical mathematics is to evaluate the truth/falsity of various assertions, also known as propositions. Indeed, at its heart, mathematics is all about deriving truths from some set of assumptions. You may have the impression that all there is to math is applying formulas to solve problems, but your perception will change in this course.

Definition

A **proposition** is a statement which is unambiguously true or false (but not both).

The parenthetical comment “but not both” might seem odd, but there is a reason for stipulating this, as you will see shortly.

Propositions

One of the central concepts in mathematics is that of an *assertion*. One of the main aims of theoretical mathematics is to evaluate the truth/falsity of various assertions, also known as propositions. Indeed, at its heart, mathematics is all about deriving truths from some set of assumptions. You may have the impression that all there is to math is applying formulas to solve problems, but your perception will change in this course.

Definition

A **proposition** is a statement which is unambiguously true or false (but not both).

The parenthetical comment “but not both” might seem odd, but there is a reason for stipulating this, as you will see shortly.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.
- 2 $2 + 2 = 6$.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.
- 2 $2 + 2 = 6$.
- 3 Turn off the water!

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.
- 2 $2 + 2 = 6$.
- 3 Turn off the water!
- 4 $x + y = 4$.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.
- 2 $2 + 2 = 6$.
- 3 Turn off the water!
- 4 $x + y = 4$.
- 5 This sentence is false.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.
- 2 $2 + 2 = 6$.
- 3 Turn off the water!
- 4 $x + y = 4$.
- 5 This sentence is false.
- 6 Pizza tastes good.

Propositions

Example

Determine if the following are propositions. For those which are propositions, state whether they are true or false.

- 1 Denver is the capital of Colorado.
- 2 $2 + 2 = 6$.
- 3 Turn off the water!
- 4 $x + y = 4$.
- 5 This sentence is false.
- 6 Pizza tastes good.

Propositions

Solution We evaluate each in succession.

Propositions

Solution We evaluate each in succession.

(1) “Denver is the capital of Colorado” is an unambiguously true statement, and hence is a proposition.

Propositions

Solution We evaluate each in succession.

(1) “Denver is the capital of Colorado” is an unambiguously true statement, and hence is a proposition.

(2) “ $2 + 2 = 6$ ” is an unambiguously false statement, and hence is a proposition (REMEMBER: a proposition does not need to be true; it simply must be true OR false).

Propositions

Solution We evaluate each in succession.

(1) “Denver is the capital of Colorado” is an unambiguously true statement, and hence is a proposition.

(2) “ $2 + 2 = 6$ ” is an unambiguously false statement, and hence is a proposition (REMEMBER: a proposition does not need to be true; it simply must be true OR false).

(3) “Turn off the water!” is a command; it is not making an assertion, but rather a *request*, so this is not a proposition.

Propositions

Solution We evaluate each in succession.

(1) “Denver is the capital of Colorado” is an unambiguously true statement, and hence is a proposition.

(2) “ $2 + 2 = 6$ ” is an unambiguously false statement, and hence is a proposition (REMEMBER: a proposition does not need to be true; it simply must be true OR false).

(3) “Turn off the water!” is a command; it is not making an assertion, but rather a *request*, so this is not a proposition.

(4) “ $x + y = 4$ ” is NOT a proposition. The issue here is that we are not given what x and y are. If x and y both happen to be 2, then this is a true proposition, but if $x = 6$ and $y = 3$, then the assertion is false. The issue here is that the assertion is not *unambiguously* true or false; its truth value depends on the value of x and y , and so this is NOT a proposition.

Propositions

Solution We evaluate each in succession.

(1) “Denver is the capital of Colorado” is an unambiguously true statement, and hence is a proposition.

(2) “ $2 + 2 = 6$ ” is an unambiguously false statement, and hence is a proposition (REMEMBER: a proposition does not need to be true; it simply must be true OR false).

(3) “Turn off the water!” is a command; it is not making an assertion, but rather a *request*, so this is not a proposition.

(4) “ $x + y = 4$ ” is NOT a proposition. The issue here is that we are not given what x and y are. If x and y both happen to be 2, then this is a true proposition, but if $x = 6$ and $y = 3$, then the assertion is false. The issue here is that the assertion is not *unambiguously* true or false; its truth value depends on the value of x and y , and so this is NOT a proposition.

(5) “This sentence is false” is not a proposition. This is a somewhat famous example of a so-called paradox. If the sentence is true, then the sentence is false, based on what the sentence is asserting. But if “This sentence is false” is false, then the sentence is true. We generally won’t be going into paradoxes in this course. If you are interested in reading more about these, you may want to look up the so-called “barber paradox”.

Propositions

(5) “This sentence is false” is not a proposition. This is a somewhat famous example of a so-called paradox. If the sentence is true, then the sentence is false, based on what the sentence is asserting. But if “This sentence is false” is false, then the sentence is true. We generally won’t be going into paradoxes in this course. If you are interested in reading more about these, you may want to look up the so-called “barber paradox”.

(6) “Pizza tastes good” is an opinion, and it isn’t shared by everyone (hard to imagine, but true), so this is NOT a proposition.

Propositions

(5) “This sentence is false” is not a proposition. This is a somewhat famous example of a so-called paradox. If the sentence is true, then the sentence is false, based on what the sentence is asserting. But if “This sentence is false” is false, then the sentence is true. We generally won’t be going into paradoxes in this course. If you are interested in reading more about these, you may want to look up the so-called “barber paradox”.

(6) “Pizza tastes good” is an opinion, and it isn’t shared by everyone (hard to imagine, but true), so this is NOT a proposition.

Propositions

In what follows (unless specified otherwise), we will use lowercase letters (p, q, r, s , etc.) to denote propositions. These letters will be called **propositional variables**.

Propositions

In what follows (unless specified otherwise), we will use lowercase letters (p, q, r, s , etc.) to denote propositions. These letters will be called **propositional variables**. We will now spend a bit of time constructing new propositions from existing ones by introducing so-called **logical operators**.

Propositions

In what follows (unless specified otherwise), we will use lowercase letters (p, q, r, s , etc.) to denote propositions. These letters will be called **propositional variables**. We will now spend a bit of time constructing new propositions from existing ones by introducing so-called **logical operators**. As a quick reminder, a proposition p is an assertion which is unambiguously true or false, but not both.

Propositions

In what follows (unless specified otherwise), we will use lowercase letters (p, q, r, s , etc.) to denote propositions. These letters will be called **propositional variables**. We will now spend a bit of time constructing new propositions from existing ones by introducing so-called **logical operators**. As a quick reminder, a proposition p is an assertion which is unambiguously true or false, but not both. I will be introducing some very basic so-called **truth tables**, but we won't be studying them in detail until a bit later.

Propositions

In what follows (unless specified otherwise), we will use lowercase letters (p, q, r, s , etc.) to denote propositions. These letters will be called **propositional variables**. We will now spend a bit of time constructing new propositions from existing ones by introducing so-called **logical operators**. As a quick reminder, a proposition p is an assertion which is unambiguously true or false, but not both. I will be introducing some very basic so-called **truth tables**, but we won't be studying them in detail until a bit later. For now, don't worry if you don't understand what they are saying; we will go into specifics shortly.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Example

Let p be the proposition “two plus two equals five”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Example

Let p be the proposition “two plus two equals five”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “two plus two does not equal five”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Example

Let p be the proposition “two plus two equals five”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “two plus two does not equal five”. Note that p is false, but $(\neg p)$ is true.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Example

Let p be the proposition “two plus two equals five”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “two plus two does not equal five”. Note that p is false, but $(\neg p)$ is true.

REMARK: We may extrapolate the following more general principle from the previous two examples: If p is a true proposition, then $(\neg p)$ is false;

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Example

Let p be the proposition “two plus two equals five”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “two plus two does not equal five”. Note that p is false, but $(\neg p)$ is true.

REMARK: We may extrapolate the following more general principle from the previous two examples: If p is a true proposition, then $(\neg p)$ is false; if p is a false proposition, then $(\neg p)$ is true.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p be a proposition. Then the proposition **not** p , denoted $(\neg p)$ and read “not p ”, is the assertion “ p is false”.

Example

Let p be the proposition “Joe Biden is the president of the United States”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “Joe Biden is not the president of the United States”. Note that p is true, but $(\neg p)$ is false.

Example

Let p be the proposition “two plus two equals five”. Then $(\neg p)$ translates to “two plus two does not equal five”. Note that p is false, but $(\neg p)$ is true.

REMARK: We may extrapolate the following more general principle from the previous two examples: If p is a true proposition, then $(\neg p)$ is false; if p is a false proposition, then $(\neg p)$ is true. We may express this more succinctly using the following true table.

Logical Operators

Truth table for the “not” operator:

p	$(\neg p)$
T	F
F	T

Logical Operators

Truth table for the “not” operator:

p	$(\neg p)$
T	F
F	T

We now come to our next logical operator. We call this a **binary** operator because the input consists of two propositional variables instead of just one.

Logical Operators

Truth table for the “not” operator:

p	$(\neg p)$
T	F
F	T

We now come to our next logical operator. We call this a **binary** operator because the input consists of two propositional variables instead of just one.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **and** q , denoted $(p \wedge q)$ and read “ p and q ”, is the assertion that “both p and q are true.”

Logical Operators

Truth table for the “not” operator:

p	$(\neg p)$
T	F
F	T

We now come to our next logical operator. We call this a **binary** operator because the input consists of two propositional variables instead of just one.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **and** q , denoted $(p \wedge q)$ and read “ p and q ”, is the assertion that “both p and q are true.”

Example

Let p be “ $2 \times 3 = 6$ ” and q be “Canada is a continent”. Note that p is true but q is false. Thus $(p \wedge q)$ is false precisely because it is NOT the case that p and q are BOTH true.

Logical Operators

I trust this concept is sufficiently clear that we don't need more examples.

Logical Operators

I trust this concept is sufficiently clear that we don't need more examples. Here is a truth table for the "and" operator.

Logical Operators

I trust this concept is sufficiently clear that we don't need more examples. Here is a truth table for the "and" operator. Note that the first two columns simply give all possible true values for the propositional variables p and q , and the final column gives the truth value of $(p \wedge q)$ based on the truth values of p and q which precede it.

Logical Operators

I trust this concept is sufficiently clear that we don't need more examples. Here is a truth table for the "and" operator. Note that the first two columns simply give all possible true values for the propositional variables p and q , and the final column gives the truth value of $(p \wedge q)$ based on the truth values of p and q which precede it.

p	q	$(p \wedge q)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun” .

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 4 = -2$ ” and q be “ $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ ”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 4 = -2$ ” and q be “ $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ ”. Then both p and q are true, so at least one of p, q is true.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 4 = -2$ ” and q be “ $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ ”. Then both p and q are true, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true in this case as well.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 4 = -2$ ” and q be “ $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ ”. Then both p and q are true, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true in this case as well.

The upshot: $(p \vee q)$ is ONLY FALSE when *BOTH* p and q are false. Here's the truth table:

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the proposition p **or** q , denoted $(p \vee q)$ and read “ p or q ”, is the assertion that “either p is true or q is true OR BOTH ARE TRUE.”

Example

Let p be “all dogs are animals” and q be “the earth is the first planet from the sun”. Then p is true but q is false, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 4 = -2$ ” and q be “ $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ ”. Then both p and q are true, so at least one of p, q is true. Hence $(p \vee q)$ is true in this case as well.

The upshot: $(p \vee q)$ is ONLY FALSE when *BOTH* p and q are false. Here's the truth table:

Logical Operators

p	q	$(p \vee q)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Logical Operators

p	q	$(p \vee q)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics.

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life.

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm."

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm." Now, suppose 7 pm comes around tomorrow, it isn't raining, and yet I am nowhere to be found at Rioja.

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm." Now, suppose 7 pm comes around tomorrow, it isn't raining, and yet I am nowhere to be found at Rioja. Then you may think that I lied to you (or at minimum, I didn't fulfill my commitment). Why?

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm." Now, suppose 7 pm comes around tomorrow, it isn't raining, and yet I am nowhere to be found at Rioja. Then you may think that I lied to you (or at minimum, I didn't fulfill my commitment). Why? Let's dissect this conditional statement.

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm." Now, suppose 7 pm comes around tomorrow, it isn't raining, and yet I am nowhere to be found at Rioja. Then you may think that I lied to you (or at minimum, I didn't fulfill my commitment). Why? Let's dissect this conditional statement. Let p be "it's not raining tomorrow night" and q be "I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm (tomorrow)."

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm." Now, suppose 7 pm comes around tomorrow, it isn't raining, and yet I am nowhere to be found at Rioja. Then you may think that I lied to you (or at minimum, I didn't fulfill my commitment). Why? Let's dissect this conditional statement. Let p be "it's not raining tomorrow night" and q be "I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm (tomorrow)." Then observe that in the above scenario, p was TRUE (it, in fact, didn't rain tomorrow night) but q was FALSE (I didn't show up).

Logical Operators

Our next operator defines *implication* which is ubiquitous in mathematics. Before introducing the operator formally, I'd like to give an example from real life. I really would like you to take a few minutes to try to *feel* why the following is true.

Example

Suppose I tell you the following: "If it's not raining tomorrow night, I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm." Now, suppose 7 pm comes around tomorrow, it isn't raining, and yet I am nowhere to be found at Rioja. Then you may think that I lied to you (or at minimum, I didn't fulfill my commitment). Why? Let's dissect this conditional statement. Let p be "it's not raining tomorrow night" and q be "I'll meet you for dinner at Rioja at 7 pm (tomorrow)." Then observe that in the above scenario, p was TRUE (it, in fact, didn't rain tomorrow night) but q was FALSE (I didn't show up). This example will motivate the following definition.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**. The motivation here is that “if p then q ” is saying that the truth of p , in some sense, *forces* the truth of q .

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**. The motivation here is that “if p then q ” is saying that the truth of p , in some sense, *forces* the truth of q . If p happens to be false, then no forcing can happen, and in this case, we simply declare “if p , then q ” to be true by fiat.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**. The motivation here is that “if p then q ” is saying that the truth of p , in some sense, *forces* the truth of q . If p happens to be false, then no forcing can happen, and in this case, we simply declare “if p , then q ” to be true by fiat.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 5 = -3$ ” and q be “ $0 \cdot 10 = 5$ ”.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**. The motivation here is that “if p then q ” is saying that the truth of p , in some sense, *forces* the truth of q . If p happens to be false, then no forcing can happen, and in this case, we simply declare “if p , then q ” to be true by fiat.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 5 = -3$ ” and q be “ $0 \cdot 10 = 5$ ”. Then note that p is true but q is false, and hence $(p \rightarrow q)$ is false.

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**. The motivation here is that “if p then q ” is saying that the truth of p , in some sense, *forces* the truth of q . If p happens to be false, then no forcing can happen, and in this case, we simply declare “if p , then q ” to be true by fiat.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 5 = -3$ ” and q be “ $0 \cdot 10 = 5$ ”. Then note that p is true but q is false, and hence $(p \rightarrow q)$ is false.

Here is the truth table:

Logical Operators

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition **if p , then q** , denoted $(p \rightarrow q)$ and read “if p , then q ”, is **ONLY FALSE IF p is true and q is false**. I CANNOT OVERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR YOU TO COMMIT THIS TO MEMORY **NOW!!!**. The motivation here is that “if p then q ” is saying that the truth of p , in some sense, *forces* the truth of q . If p happens to be false, then no forcing can happen, and in this case, we simply declare “if p , then q ” to be true by fiat.

Example

Let p be “ $2 - 5 = -3$ ” and q be “ $0 \cdot 10 = 5$ ”. Then note that p is true but q is false, and hence $(p \rightarrow q)$ is false.

Here is the truth table:

Logical Operators

p	q	$(p \rightarrow q)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition p **if and only if** q , denoted $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and read “ p if and only if q ”, is the assertion that either p and q are both true OR p and q are both false.

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition p **if and only if** q , denoted $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and read “ p if and only if q ”, is the assertion that either p and q are both true OR p and q are both false. Said more succinctly, $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true exactly when p and q have the same truth value.

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition p **if and only if** q , denoted $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and read “ p if and only if q ”, is the assertion that either p and q are both true OR p and q are both false. Said more succinctly, $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true exactly when p and q have the same truth value.

Example

Let p be “a cat is an insect” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 5$ ”.

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition p **if and only if** q , denoted $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and read “ p if and only if q ”, is the assertion that either p and q are both true OR p and q are both false. Said more succinctly, $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true exactly when p and q have the same truth value.

Example

Let p be “a cat is an insect” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 5$ ”. Then note that p is false but q is true.

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition p **if and only if** q , denoted $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and read “ p if and only if q ”, is the assertion that either p and q are both true OR p and q are both false. Said more succinctly, $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true exactly when p and q have the same truth value.

Example

Let p be “a cat is an insect” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 5$ ”. Then note that p is false but q is true. So p and q do NOT have the same truth value, and thus $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is false.

Logical Operators

Our final logical operator is the “if and only if” operator, often abbreviated in mathematics as “iff”. Again, I urge you all to commit the following definition to memory.

Definition

Let p and q be propositions. Then the conditional proposition p **if and only if** q , denoted $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and read “ p if and only if q ”, is the assertion that either p and q are both true OR p and q are both false. Said more succinctly, $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true exactly when p and q have the same truth value.

Example

Let p be “a cat is an insect” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 5$ ”. Then note that p is false but q is true. So p and q do NOT have the same truth value, and thus $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is false.

Logical Operators

Example

Let p be “ $11 = 4$ ” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 89$ ”. Then both p and q are false, and hence p and q have the same truth value, so $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true.

Here is the truth table:

p	q	$(p \leftrightarrow q)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Logical Operators

Example

Let p be “ $11 = 4$ ” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 89$ ”. Then both p and q are false, and hence p and q have the same truth value, so $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true.

Here is the truth table:

p	q	$(p \leftrightarrow q)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

We have now seen the five fundamental logical operators: \neg (not), \wedge (and), \vee (inclusive or), \rightarrow (if, then), and \leftrightarrow (if and only if).

Logical Operators

Example

Let p be “ $11 = 4$ ” and q be “ $2 + 3 = 89$ ”. Then both p and q are false, and hence p and q have the same truth value, so $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is true.

Here is the truth table:

p	q	$(p \leftrightarrow q)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

We have now seen the five fundamental logical operators: \neg (not), \wedge (and), \vee (inclusive or), \rightarrow (if, then), and \leftrightarrow (if and only if). Some of you may have seen other logical operators in a cs course. These operators can be expressed using only the logical operators above (end of lecture).