

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*. It is the latter question that we will investigate in the first part of this lecture.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*. It is the latter question that we will investigate in the first part of this lecture. Be forewarned that now the proofs are going to get more technical/complicated.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*. It is the latter question that we will investigate in the first part of this lecture. Be forewarned that now the proofs are going to get more technical/complicated. I have shielded you from this somewhat up to this point, but I can't continue to do this.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*. It is the latter question that we will investigate in the first part of this lecture. Be forewarned that now the proofs are going to get more technical/complicated. I have shielded you from this somewhat up to this point, but I can't continue to do this. Don't say I didn't warn you (but you CAN understand this stuff - just be responsible and put in the time!).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*. It is the latter question that we will investigate in the first part of this lecture. Be forewarned that now the proofs are going to get more technical/complicated. I have shielded you from this somewhat up to this point, but I can't continue to do this. Don't say I didn't warn you (but you CAN understand this stuff - just be responsible and put in the time!). I'm going to begin by defining a set S for you:

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We will continue to explore consequences of completeness, and introduce one of the most fundamental objects in analysis: an infinite sequence of real numbers. We will begin by revisiting a number you likely saw in discrete mathematics: $\sqrt{2}$. You likely saw a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but you almost certainly did NOT see a proof that the square root of two *exists*. It is the latter question that we will investigate in the first part of this lecture. Be forewarned that now the proofs are going to get more technical/complicated. I have shielded you from this somewhat up to this point, but I can't continue to do this. Don't say I didn't warn you (but you CAN understand this stuff - just be responsible and put in the time!). I'm going to begin by defining a set S for you:

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven). Let's start with a question.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven). Let's start with a question.

Question

Is S non-empty?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven). Let's start with a question.

Question

Is S non-empty?

I strongly suggest you try to answer this yourself, WITH PROOF.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven). Let's start with a question.

Question

Is S non-empty?

I strongly suggest you try to answer this yourself, WITH PROOF. The answer is that it is.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven). Let's start with a question.

Question

Is S non-empty?

I strongly suggest you try to answer this yourself, WITH PROOF. The answer is that it is. To see this, simply note that $0^2 = 0 < 2$, and so $0 \in S$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

$$S = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 < 2\}.$$

You may recall that we defined 2 to be $1 + 1$, and recall that $2 > 0$ (this can be proven). Let's start with a question.

Question

Is S non-empty?

I strongly suggest you try to answer this yourself, WITH PROOF. The answer is that it is. To see this, simply note that $0^2 = 0 < 2$, and so $0 \in S$.

And now another question...

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$. So now we have $2^2 < 2r < r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$. So now we have $2^2 < 2r < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2^2 < r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$. So now we have $2^2 < 2r < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2^2 < r^2$. Now, $2 < 2^2$ (WHY?) and $2^2 < r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$. So now we have $2^2 < 2r < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2^2 < r^2$. Now, $2 < 2^2$ (WHY?) and $2^2 < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2 < r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$. So now we have $2^2 < 2r < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2^2 < r^2$. Now, $2 < 2^2$ (WHY?) and $2^2 < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2 < r^2$. Recall above that $r^2 < 2$, and we get a contradiction to Trichotomy. □

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Question

Is S bounded above?

Again, try to answer this for yourself. YES, S is bounded above. Can you find an upper bound for S (and prove that it is an upper bound)? More specifically, can you prove that 2 is an upper bound for S ?

Proof.

Suppose by way of contradiction that 2 is not an upper bound for S . Then there is $r \in S$ such that $2 < r$. Now, since $r \in S$, we know that $r^2 < 2$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by 2 (Multiplicative Invariance) to get $2^2 < 2r$. Since $0 < 2 < r$, Transitivity implies that $0 < r$. Thus by Multiplicative Invariance, we may multiply both sides of $2 < r$ by r to get $2r < r^2$. So now we have $2^2 < 2r < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2^2 < r^2$. Now, $2 < 2^2$ (WHY?) and $2^2 < r^2$. By Transitivity, $2 < r^2$. Recall above that $r^2 < 2$, and we get a contradiction to Trichotomy. □

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why? Well, note that $1^2 = 1 < 2$, and so $1 \in S$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why? Well, note that $1^2 = 1 < 2$, and so $1 \in S$. Because r is an upper bound for S , it follows that $1 \leq r$, and this shows that r is positive. □

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why? Well, note that $1^2 = 1 < 2$, and so $1 \in S$. Because r is an upper bound for S , it follows that $1 \leq r$, and this shows that r is positive. □

We will show (warning: this will require a bit of work) is that, in fact, $r^2 = 2$, meaning that $r = \sqrt{2}$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why? Well, note that $1^2 = 1 < 2$, and so $1 \in S$. Because r is an upper bound for S , it follows that $1 \leq r$, and this shows that r is positive. □

We we will show (warning: this will require a bit of work) is that, in fact, $r^2 = 2$, meaning that $r = \sqrt{2}$. So (thank goodness) $\sqrt{2}$ does, in fact, exist.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why? Well, note that $1^2 = 1 < 2$, and so $1 \in S$. Because r is an upper bound for S , it follows that $1 \leq r$, and this shows that r is positive. □

We we will show (warning: this will require a bit of work) is that, in fact, $r^2 = 2$, meaning that $r = \sqrt{2}$. So (thank goodness) $\sqrt{2}$ does, in fact, exist. Moreover, we can *prove* that $\sqrt{2}$ exists from the axioms.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have seen that S is nonempty and bounded above. So by the Completeness Axiom, $\sup(S) = r$ exists. Remember that this means that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . Now another question...

Question

Is r positive?

Solution.

Yes, r is positive. Can you see why? Well, note that $1^2 = 1 < 2$, and so $1 \in S$. Because r is an upper bound for S , it follows that $1 \leq r$, and this shows that r is positive. □

We will show (warning: this will require a bit of work) is that, in fact, $r^2 = 2$, meaning that $r = \sqrt{2}$. So (thank goodness) $\sqrt{2}$ does, in fact, exist. Moreover, we can *prove* that $\sqrt{2}$ exists from the axioms.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy:

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me). So our strategy is to find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me). So our strategy is to find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. Now, since $r^2 < 2$, $2 - r^2 > 0$ (why?); let's let $\delta = 2 - r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me). So our strategy is to find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. Now, since $r^2 < 2$, $2 - r^2 > 0$ (why?); let's let $\delta = 2 - r^2$. Again, we want to find a positive real ϵ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me). So our strategy is to find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. Now, since $r^2 < 2$, $2 - r^2 > 0$ (why?); let's let $\delta = 2 - r^2$. Again, we want to find a positive real ϵ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. "Foiling out" on the left, this is equivalent to $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me). So our strategy is to find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. Now, since $r^2 < 2$, $2 - r^2 > 0$ (why?); let's let $\delta = 2 - r^2$. Again, we want to find a positive real ϵ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. "Foiling out" on the left, this is equivalent to $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2$. Let's subtract r^2 from both sides to get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2 - r^2 = \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

The way we will show that $r^2 = 2$ is as follows: we will derive contradictions if $r^2 < 2$ and if $r^2 > 2$. By Trichotomy, the only possibility left is that $r^2 = 2$. OK, so let us suppose first that $r^2 < 2$. Here is the strategy: we will find a real number s such that $r < s$ and $s^2 < 2$. Do you see the issue here? Then $s \in S$ and $r < s$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S . PLEASE pause to make sure you understand this (if you don't, please get ahold of me). So our strategy is to find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. Now, since $r^2 < 2$, $2 - r^2 > 0$ (why?); let's let $\delta = 2 - r^2$. Again, we want to find a positive real ϵ such that $(r + \epsilon)^2 < 2$. "Foiling out" on the left, this is equivalent to $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2$. Let's subtract r^2 from both sides to get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2 - r^2 = \delta$. So here is our goal:

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation:

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$? Well, in this case, $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$, and so by Transitivity, we get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$? Well, in this case, $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$, and so by Transitivity, we get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. So our new goal is as follows:

Modified goal: Find real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$, that is, $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$? Well, in this case, $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$, and so by Transitivity, we get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. So our new goal is as follows:

Modified goal: Find real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$, that is, $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$.

OK, now we are almost there. Let $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1)$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$? Well, in this case, $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$, and so by Transitivity, we get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. So our new goal is as follows:

Modified goal: Find real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$, that is, $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$.

OK, now we are almost there. Let $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1)$. Note that $0 < \gamma$ (why?). By Density of the Reals, there is a real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$? Well, in this case, $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$, and so by Transitivity, we get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. So our new goal is as follows:

Modified goal: Find real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$, that is, $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$.

OK, now we are almost there. Let $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1)$. Note that $0 < \gamma$ (why?). By Density of the Reals, there is a real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$. You've seen the thought process for how we arrived at ϵ (this is the 'behind-the-scenes' work).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Goal: find a positive real ϵ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

Here is a VERY useful observation: if $\epsilon < 1$, then $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ (why?), and so $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$ (why?). What we want is to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. What if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$? Well, in this case, $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta$, and so by Transitivity, we get $2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \delta$. So our new goal is as follows:

Modified goal: Find real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $2r\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$, that is, $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$.

OK, now we are almost there. Let $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1)$. Note that $0 < \gamma$ (why?). By Density of the Reals, there is a real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$. You've seen the thought process for how we arrived at ϵ (this is the 'behind-the-scenes' work). Now let's prove that this ϵ actually works...

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2.$

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$. Now, since $\epsilon < \gamma$, also $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$, and so $\epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$. Now, since $\epsilon < \gamma$, also $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$, and so $\epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$. But this means that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta = 2 - r^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$. Now, since $\epsilon < \gamma$, also $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$, and so $\epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$. But this means that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta = 2 - r^2$. Adding r^2 , we get $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$. Now, since $\epsilon < \gamma$, also $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$, and so $\epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$. But this means that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta = 2 - r^2$. Adding r^2 , we get $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$. So, finally, $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$. Now, since $\epsilon < \gamma$, also $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$, and so $\epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$. But this means that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta = 2 - r^2$. Adding r^2 , we get $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$. So, finally, $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$. But now $r < r + \epsilon \in S$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\},$

2 $r = \sup(S),$

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 < 2,$

4 $\delta = 2 - r^2,$

5 $\gamma = \min(\frac{\delta}{2r+1}, 1),$ and we let

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma.$

Ready? Note that $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Since $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$, we see that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$, and so $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon$. Now, since $\epsilon < \gamma$, also $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r+1}$, and so $\epsilon(2r + 1) < \delta$. But this means that $2r\epsilon + \epsilon < \delta = 2 - r^2$. Adding r^2 , we get $r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$. So, finally, $(r + \epsilon)^2 = r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < r^2 + 2r\epsilon + \epsilon < 2$. But now $r < r + \epsilon \in S$, contradicting that r is an upper bound for S .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$. Let $\delta = r^2 - 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$. Let $\delta = r^2 - 2$. Then we want to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < r - \epsilon$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$. Let $\delta = r^2 - 2$. Then we want to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < r - \epsilon$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. But this is to say that $\epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$. Let $\delta = r^2 - 2$. Then we want to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < r - \epsilon$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. But this is to say that $\epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. Note that $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon$, so if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon < \delta$, then we get $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon < \delta$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$. Let $\delta = r^2 - 2$. Then we want to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < r - \epsilon$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. But this is to say that $\epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. Note that $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon$, so if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon < \delta$, then we get $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon < \delta$. So all we have to do is choose ϵ such that $\epsilon < r$ and $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, that is, $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$ (which we can do by Density of the Reals).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

We have shown that we cannot have $r^2 < 2$. To complete the proof that $r^2 = 2$, we need to rule out $r^2 > 2$. Here is the idea: let's find a positive number ϵ such that $0 < (r - \epsilon)$ and $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. We can then show that $r - \epsilon$ is also an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , and this contradicts the leastness of r . So this is our strategy. We want $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$. Another way to say this is that we want $r^2 - 2 > 2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2$, that is, $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < r^2 - 2$. Let $\delta = r^2 - 2$. Then we want to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < r - \epsilon$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. But this is to say that $\epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < \delta$. Note that $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon$, so if we can find an ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < r$ and $2r\epsilon < \delta$, then we get $2r\epsilon - \epsilon^2 < 2r\epsilon < \delta$. So all we have to do is choose ϵ such that $\epsilon < r$ and $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, that is, $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$ (which we can do by Density of the Reals).

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of S , we have $s^2 < 2$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of S , we have $s^2 < 2$. So now $s^2 < 2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$, and so by Transitivity, $s^2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$. Because $r - \epsilon > 0$ (since $\epsilon < r$), it follows that $s < r - \epsilon$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of S , we have $s^2 < 2$. So now $s^2 < 2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$, and so by Transitivity, $s^2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$. Because $r - \epsilon > 0$ (since $\epsilon < r$), it follows that $s < r - \epsilon$. We have shown that $r - \epsilon$ is an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , contradicting that r is the LEAST upper bound of S .

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of S , we have $s^2 < 2$. So now $s^2 < 2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$, and so by Transitivity, $s^2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$. Because $r - \epsilon > 0$ (since $\epsilon < r$), it follows that $s < r - \epsilon$. We have shown that $r - \epsilon$ is an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , contradicting that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . This proves it.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of S , we have $s^2 < 2$. So now $s^2 < 2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$, and so by Transitivity, $s^2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$. Because $r - \epsilon > 0$ (since $\epsilon < r$), it follows that $s < r - \epsilon$. We have shown that $r - \epsilon$ is an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , contradicting that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . This proves it. Are you scared now?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

Recall

1 $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s^2 < 2\}$

2 $r = \sup(S)$,

3 (for the purposes of contradiction) $r^2 > 2$,

4 $\delta = r^2 - 2$,

5 $\gamma = \min(r, \frac{\delta}{2r})$,

6 $0 < \epsilon < \gamma$.

Ready? Note that since $\epsilon < \gamma$, we have $\epsilon < r$. Now, $(r - \epsilon)^2 = r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Moreover, we have $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2r}$, and so $\epsilon < \frac{r^2 - 2}{2r}$, which becomes $2r\epsilon < r^2 - 2$, and so $2 < r^2 - 2r\epsilon$, that is, $r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$. Note that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > r^2 - 2r\epsilon > 2$ (why?), so by hw#4, problem 1, we see that $r^2 - 2r\epsilon + \epsilon^2 > 2$, that is, $(r - \epsilon)^2 > 2$. Now, let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of S , we have $s^2 < 2$. So now $s^2 < 2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$, and so by Transitivity, $s^2 < (r - \epsilon)^2$. Because $r - \epsilon > 0$ (since $\epsilon < r$), it follows that $s < r - \epsilon$. We have shown that $r - \epsilon$ is an upper bound for S which is SMALLER than r , contradicting that r is the LEAST upper bound of S . This proves it. Are you scared now?

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

Definition

A (infinite) **real sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers indexed by the natural numbers, that is, an ordered list of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

Definition

A (infinite) **real sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers indexed by the natural numbers, that is, an ordered list of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots . We often denote the sequence by $(a_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$, or more compactly by simply (a_n) when the index set is clear.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

Definition

A (infinite) **real sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers indexed by the natural numbers, that is, an ordered list of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots . We often denote the sequence by $(a_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$, or more compactly by simply (a_n) when the index set is clear.

Example

Consider the sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

Definition

A (infinite) **real sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers indexed by the natural numbers, that is, an ordered list of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots . We often denote the sequence by $(a_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$, or more compactly by simply (a_n) when the index set is clear.

Example

Consider the sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$. In compact form, we may denote this sequence by $(\frac{1}{n+1})$.

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

Definition

A (infinite) **real sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers indexed by the natural numbers, that is, an ordered list of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots . We often denote the sequence by $(a_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$, or more compactly by simply (a_n) when the index set is clear.

Example

Consider the sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$. In compact form, we may denote this sequence by $(\frac{1}{n+1})$.

Example

Consider the sequence $1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots$

(Even) More Completeness and Introduction to Sequences

OK, now you have been given your first dose of a 'real' analysis proof. This is what you signed up for in this course. The first 'properly analytic object' we will introduce now is a sequence, defined below.

Definition

A (infinite) **real sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers indexed by the natural numbers, that is, an ordered list of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots . We often denote the sequence by $(a_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$, or more compactly by simply (a_n) when the index set is clear.

Example

Consider the sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$. In compact form, we may denote this sequence by $(\frac{1}{n+1})$.

Example

Consider the sequence $1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots$. Find a **closed form** for this sequence.