Math 2150 Lecture 18

Greg Oman

University of Colorado Colorado Springs Recall that if S is a set, then $\mathcal{P}(S)$, the power set of S, is the set of all subsets of S.

Recall that if S is a set, then $\mathcal{P}(S)$, the power set of S, is the set of all subsets of S.

Example

Let $S = \{1, 2\}$. What is $\mathcal{P}(S)$?

Recall that if S is a set, then $\mathcal{P}(S)$, the power set of S, is the set of all subsets of S.

Example

Let $S = \{1, 2\}$. What is $\mathcal{P}(S)$?

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}.$

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \emptyset has zero elements and \emptyset is a subset of EVERY set, so $\emptyset \subseteq S$.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \emptyset has zero elements and \emptyset is a subset of EVERY set, so $\emptyset \subseteq S$. Since \emptyset is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \emptyset has zero elements and \emptyset is a subset of EVERY set, so $\emptyset \subseteq S$. Since \emptyset is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. The next possible size of a subset is a subset with one element: note that (take a sec to process the following) $\{1\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ and that $\{2\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ so the members of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with one element are the sets $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \varnothing has zero elements and \varnothing is a subset of EVERY set, so $\varnothing \subseteq S$. Since \varnothing is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. The next possible size of a subset is a subset with one element: note that (take a sec to process the following) $\{1\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and that $\{2\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ so the members of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with one element are the sets $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$. Finally, the only 2 element subset of the set $\{1,2\}$ is the set $\{1,2\}$ itself.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \varnothing has zero elements and \varnothing is a subset of EVERY set, so $\varnothing \subseteq S$. Since \varnothing is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. The next possible size of a subset is a subset with one element: note that (take a sec to process the following) $\{1\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and that $\{2\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ so the members of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with one element are the sets $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$. Finally, the only 2 element subset of the set $\{1,2\}$ is the set $\{1,2\}$ itself. We have found all the subsets of $S = \{1,2\}$.

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \varnothing has zero elements and \varnothing is a subset of EVERY set, so $\varnothing \subseteq S$. Since \varnothing is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. The next possible size of a subset is a subset with one element: note that (take a sec to process the following) $\{1\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and that $\{2\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ so the members of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with one element are the sets $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$. Finally, the only 2 element subset of the set $\{1,2\}$ is the set $\{1,2\}$ itself. We have found all the subsets of $S = \{1,2\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}(S) = \{ \emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\} \}.$

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \varnothing has zero elements and \varnothing is a subset of EVERY set, so $\varnothing \subseteq S$. Since \varnothing is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. The next possible size of a subset is a subset with one element: note that (take a sec to process the following) $\{1\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and that $\{2\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ so the members of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with one element are the sets $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$. Finally, the only 2 element subset of the set $\{1,2\}$ is the set $\{1,2\}$ itself. We have found all the subsets of $S = \{1,2\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}(S) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. (yes, it is possible for members of a set to be sets themselves. In theory, ANY object can be a member of a set).

Solution By definition, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the collection of ALL subsets of $S = \{1, 2\}$. Since S has two elements, any subset of S clearly must have at most two elements. The idea is to simply find them by brute force; we will go from the smallest possible size to the largest. The smallest possible size is a subset with zero elements. Recall that the empty set \varnothing has zero elements and \varnothing is a subset of EVERY set, so $\varnothing \subseteq S$. Since \varnothing is a subset of S, this means by definition that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. The next possible size of a subset is a subset with one element: note that (take a sec to process the following) $\{1\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and that $\{2\} \subseteq \{1,2\}$ so the members of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with one element are the sets $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$. Finally, the only 2 element subset of the set $\{1,2\}$ is the set $\{1,2\}$ itself. We have found all the subsets of $S = \{1,2\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}(S) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. (yes, it is possible for members of a set to be sets themselves. In theory, ANY object can be a member of a set).

Now let's do a few more drills.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Example $\mathsf{Is} \{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation l've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation l've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$?

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$? Look at the outermost set of braces.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside. Note also that there are NO COMMAS, and so this set has only one element.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside. Note also that there are NO COMMAS, and so this set has only one element. What is the one element listed inside the outermost braces?

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside. Note also that there are NO COMMAS, and so this set has only one element. What is the one element listed inside the outermost braces? It is the set $\{1\}$.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: $\{1\}$ is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about $\{\{1\}\}$? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside. Note also that there are NO COMMAS, and so this set has only one element. What is the one element listed inside the outermost braces? It is the set $\{1\}$. So the set on the right is the set whose only member is $\{1\}$.

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: {1} is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about {{1}}? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside. Note also that there are NO COMMAS, and so this set has only one element. What is the one element listed inside the outermost braces? It is the set {1}. So the set on the right is the set whose only member is {1}. Thus by definition, this means ${1} \in {\{1\}}$, and the answer is "yes".

Example

Is $\{1\} \in \{\{1\}\}?$

Here is where you have to go back to the definitions and notation I've introduced to get your bearings. The left side shouldn't be too hard to intuit: {1} is simply the set whose only member is 1. But what about {{1}}? Look at the outermost set of braces. These enclose the objects on the inside. Note also that there are NO COMMAS, and so this set has only one element. What is the one element listed inside the outermost braces? It is the set {1}. So the set on the right is the set whose only member is {1}. Thus by definition, this means ${1} \in {\{1\}}$, and the answer is "yes".

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions.

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}$ assert?

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right.

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left.

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left. So this is asserting that 1 is a member of the set on the right, that is, $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$.
Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left. So this is asserting that 1 is a member of the set on the right, that is, $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$. But in the previous example, I explained that the only member of $\{\{1\}\}$ is $\{1\}$.

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left. So this is asserting that 1 is a member of the set on the right, that is, $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$. But in the previous example, I explained that the only member of $\{\{1\}\}$ is $\{1\}$. So the only way for $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$ would be for $1 = \{1\}$ (think about this!).

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left. So this is asserting that 1 is a member of the set on the right, that is, $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$. But in the previous example, I explained that the only member of $\{\{1\}\}$ is $\{1\}$. So the only way for $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$ would be for $1 = \{1\}$ (think about this!). But this clearly is false, since 1 is NOT a set, but $\{1\}$ is (if two things are equal, that means they are literally one and the same; but in this case, you can't have one thing have a property that the other doesn't!).

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left. So this is asserting that 1 is a member of the set on the right, that is, $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$. But in the previous example, I explained that the only member of $\{\{1\}\}$ is $\{1\}$. So the only way for $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$ would be for $1 = \{1\}$ (think about this!). But this clearly is false, since 1 is NOT a set, but $\{1\}$ is (if two things are equal, that means they are literally one and the same; but in this case, you can't have one thing have a property that the other doesn't!). So the answer to this question is NO.

Is $\{1\}\subseteq\{\{1\}\}?$

Again, you need to force yourself to go back and read the definitions. What does $\{1\} \subseteq \{\{1\}\}$ assert? It is asserting that every member of the set on the left is a member of the set on the right. Note that 1 is the ONLY member of the set on the left. So this is asserting that 1 is a member of the set on the right, that is, $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$. But in the previous example, I explained that the only member of $\{\{1\}\}$ is $\{1\}$. So the only way for $1 \in \{\{1\}\}$ would be for $1 = \{1\}$ (think about this!). But this clearly is false, since 1 is NOT a set, but $\{1\}$ is (if two things are equal, that means they are literally one and the same; but in this case, you can't have one thing have a property that the other doesn't!). So the answer to this question is NO.

Is $\{1, \{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}$?

Is $\{1, \{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}$?

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right.

Is $\{1, \{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}$?

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left.

Is $\{1,\{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}?$

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left. So if the answer were yes, then we would also have that $\{1\} \in \{1\}$.

Is $\{1,\{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}?$

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left. So if the answer were yes, then we would also have that $\{1\} \in \{1\}$. In other words, $\{1\}$ is a member of the set $\{1\}$.

Is $\{1,\{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}?$

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left. So if the answer were yes, then we would also have that $\{1\} \in \{1\}$. In other words, $\{1\}$ is a member of the set $\{1\}$. But the only member of the set $\{1\}$ is 1, and so this would force $\{1\} = 1$.

Is $\{1, \{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}$?

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left. So if the answer were yes, then we would also have that $\{1\} \in \{1\}$. In other words, $\{1\}$ is a member of the set $\{1\}$. But the only member of the set $\{1\}$ is 1, and so this would force $\{1\} = 1$. Again, we have the same problem: 1 is NOT a set, but $\{1\}$ is, so they can't be equal!

Is $\{1, \{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}$?

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left. So if the answer were yes, then we would also have that $\{1\} \in \{1\}$. In other words, $\{1\}$ is a member of the set $\{1\}$. But the only member of the set $\{1\}$ is 1, and so this would force $\{1\} = 1$. Again, we have the same problem: 1 is NOT a set, but $\{1\}$ is, so they can't be equal! Thus the answer is "no".

Is $\{1, \{1\}\} \subseteq \{1\}$?

Again, if the answer were "yes", then by definition, every member of the set on the left would be a member of the set on the right. Observe that $\{1\}$ is a member of the set on the left. So if the answer were yes, then we would also have that $\{1\} \in \{1\}$. In other words, $\{1\}$ is a member of the set $\{1\}$. But the only member of the set $\{1\}$ is 1, and so this would force $\{1\} = 1$. Again, we have the same problem: 1 is NOT a set, but $\{1\}$ is, so they can't be equal! Thus the answer is "no".

Intro to Sets

Example

Is $\{1\} = \{1,1\}?$

Is $\{1\} = \{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS!

Is $\{1\} = \{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1. You may draw a "1" with a pencil or paint it on the side of a building, so there are certainly different looking characters that represent this number, but philosophically, there is only one.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1. You may draw a "1" with a pencil or paint it on the side of a building, so there are certainly different looking characters that represent this number, but philosophically, there is only one. If these sets are to be equal, this means that we need every member of the set on the left to be a member of the set on the right AND for every member of the set on the right to be a member of the set on the left.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1. You may draw a "1" with a pencil or paint it on the side of a building, so there are certainly different looking characters that represent this number, but philosophically, there is only one. If these sets are to be equal, this means that we need every member of the set on the left to be a member of the set on the right AND for every member of the set on the right to be a member of the set on the left. The only member of the set on the left is 1, and certainly 1 is a member of the set on the right.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1. You may draw a "1" with a pencil or paint it on the side of a building, so there are certainly different looking characters that represent this number, but philosophically, there is only one. If these sets are to be equal, this means that we need every member of the set on the left to be a member of the set on the right AND for every member of the set on the right to be a member of the set on the left. The only member of the set on the left is 1, and certainly 1 is a member of the set on the right. Conversely, the only member of the set on the right is 1 (even though it is repeated; remember, there is ONLY ONE 1!), and 1 is a member of the set on the left.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1. You may draw a "1" with a pencil or paint it on the side of a building, so there are certainly different looking characters that represent this number, but philosophically, there is only one. If these sets are to be equal, this means that we need every member of the set on the left to be a member of the set on the right AND for every member of the set on the right to be a member of the set on the left. The only member of the set on the left is 1, and certainly 1 is a member of the set on the right. Conversely, the only member of the set on the right is 1 (even though it is repeated; remember, there is ONLY ONE 1!), and 1 is a member of the set on the left. Thus, indeed, $\{1\} = \{1, 1\}$.

Is $\{1\}=\{1,1\}?$

The reason for including this example is to illustrate a point: DON'T TRUST YOUR FEELINGS ON PROBLEMS LIKE THIS; TRUST LOGIC AND DEFINITIONS! I cannot overemphasize this point. The first thing I want to point out is that there is only one number 1. You may draw a "1" with a pencil or paint it on the side of a building, so there are certainly different looking characters that represent this number, but philosophically, there is only one. If these sets are to be equal, this means that we need every member of the set on the left to be a member of the set on the right AND for every member of the set on the right to be a member of the set on the left. The only member of the set on the left is 1, and certainly 1 is a member of the set on the right. Conversely, the only member of the set on the right is 1 (even though it is repeated; remember, there is ONLY ONE 1!), and 1 is a member of the set on the left. Thus, indeed, $\{1\} = \{1, 1\}$.

We have studied the notions of equality, subset, and membership in basic set theory above.

We have studied the notions of equality, subset, and membership in basic set theory above. We will now discuss three fundamental operations on sets.

We have studied the notions of equality, subset, and membership in basic set theory above. We will now discuss three fundamental operations on sets. For those CS majors familiar with basic "Boolean algebra", these operations induce a Boolean algebra structure on the collection of sets.

We have studied the notions of equality, subset, and membership in basic set theory above. We will now discuss three fundamental operations on sets. For those CS majors familiar with basic "Boolean algebra", these operations induce a Boolean algebra structure on the collection of sets. If you're not sure what I'm talking about, that's ok!

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6\}$. Informally, what set do we get if we "dump out" the members of both sets into a bigger basket?

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6\}$. Informally, what set do we get if we "dump out" the members of both sets into a bigger basket? We get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6\}$. Informally, what set do we get if we "dump out" the members of both sets into a bigger basket? We get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$.
Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3".

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3". So we may as well discard the redundancy to get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3". So we may as well discard the redundancy to get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Example

Same question: $A = \{2, 3, \circ\}$ and $B = \emptyset$.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3". So we may as well discard the redundancy to get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Example

Same question: $A = \{2, 3, \circ\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Again, imagine the empty set as an empty basket.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3". So we may as well discard the redundancy to get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Example

Same question: $A = \{2, 3, \circ\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Again, imagine the empty set as an empty basket. When you dump the contents of A and B, you just get the contents of A, since B contributes nothing.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3". So we may as well discard the redundancy to get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Example

Same question: $A = \{2, 3, \circ\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Again, imagine the empty set as an empty basket. When you dump the contents of A and B, you just get the contents of A, since B contributes nothing. So in this case, $C = \{2, 3, \circ\}$.

Same question: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. It may be tempting to say that the answer is $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4\}$. Technically, this is correct, but remember from the final example in the last set of lecture notes that there is no advantage to repeating elements: there is only one "2" and there is only one "3". So we may as well discard the redundancy to get $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Example

Same question: $A = \{2, 3, \circ\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Again, imagine the empty set as an empty basket. When you dump the contents of A and B, you just get the contents of A, since B contributes nothing. So in this case, $C = \{2, 3, \circ\}$.

Definition (Union)

Let A and B be sets.

Definition (Union)

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cup B$, read "A union B" or "the union of A and B", is defined by $A \cup B = \{x \colon x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$.

Definition (Union)

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cup B$, read "A union B" or "the union of A and B", is defined by $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$. Remember that you read $\{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$ as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A \text{ or } x \in B$ ".

Definition (Union)

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cup B$, read "A union B" or "the union of A and B", is defined by $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$. Remember that you read $\{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$ as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A \text{ or } x \in B$ ".

Now lets change gears and consider the following question.

Definition (Union)

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cup B$, read "A union B" or "the union of A and B", is defined by $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$. Remember that you read $\{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$ as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A \text{ or } x \in B$ ".

Now lets change gears and consider the following question.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B?

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B? It is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B? It is $C = \{2, 3\}$. I trust this makes sense and requires no further explanation.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B? It is $C = \{2, 3\}$. I trust this makes sense and requires no further explanation.

Example

Same question: $A = \{9, 10, 3, 4\}$ and $B = \emptyset$.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B? It is $C = \{2, 3\}$. I trust this makes sense and requires no further explanation.

Example

Same question: $A = \{9, 10, 3, 4\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Note that there is not object that is in both A and B simply because B is an empty basket with no objects.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B? It is $C = \{2, 3\}$. I trust this makes sense and requires no further explanation.

Example

Same question: $A = \{9, 10, 3, 4\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Note that there is not object that is in both A and B simply because B is an empty basket with no objects. So the set of elements that are in both A and B is empty, that is, $C = \emptyset$.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$. What is the set which contains exactly the elements that are in BOTH A and B? It is $C = \{2, 3\}$. I trust this makes sense and requires no further explanation.

Example

Same question: $A = \{9, 10, 3, 4\}$ and $B = \emptyset$. Note that there is not object that is in both A and B simply because B is an empty basket with no objects. So the set of elements that are in both A and B is empty, that is, $C = \emptyset$.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x \colon x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x \colon x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let
$$A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$$
 and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B?

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? This set is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? This set is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? This set is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B?

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? This set is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? Note that EVERY element of A is also an element of B, so there are NO elements of A that are not in B.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? This set is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? Note that EVERY element of A is also an element of B, so there are NO elements of A that are not in B. Hence in this case, $C = \emptyset$.

This leads us to our second fundamental definition.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then $A \cap B$, read "A intersect B" or "the intersection of A and B", is defined by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$. This is read as "the set of all objects x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ ".

Now our final operation:

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? This set is $C = \{2, 3\}$.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. What is the set consisting of the elements of A that are not in B? Note that EVERY element of A is also an element of B, so there are NO elements of A that are not in B. Hence in this case, $C = \emptyset$.

Definition

Let A and B be sets.

Definition

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}.$
Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$.

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$. What is $(A \cup B) \setminus C$?

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$. What is $(A \cup B) \setminus C$?

Solution Note that $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}.$

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$. What is $(A \cup B) \setminus C$?

Solution Note that $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. So we simply must find $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{8, 9, 10\}$.

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$. What is $(A \cup B) \setminus C$?

Solution Note that $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. So we simply must find $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{8, 9, 10\}$. Observe that every member of the set on the left has the property that it is NOT in the set on the right.

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$. What is $(A \cup B) \setminus C$?

Solution Note that $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. So we simply must find $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{8, 9, 10\}$. Observe that every member of the set on the left has the property that it is NOT in the set on the right. Hence $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{8, 9, 10\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.

Let A and B be sets. Then A - B or $A \setminus B$ (both mean the same thing), read "A minus B" or "the relative complement of B in A", is defined by $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$. Note that typically I will use the notation $A \setminus B$ instead of A - B as the latter notation suggest some kind of arithmetic, which is totally irrelevant here.

Now that I've introduced these operations, let's look at a couple examples which utilize more than one of them.

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{5, 6, 7\}$, and $C = \{8, 9, 10\}$. What is $(A \cup B) \setminus C$?

Solution Note that $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. So we simply must find $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{8, 9, 10\}$. Observe that every member of the set on the left has the property that it is NOT in the set on the right. Hence $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{8, 9, 10\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

- Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?
- Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you.

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings.

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings. What are the members of $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings. What are the members of $\emptyset \setminus A$? By definition, they are the objects that are in \emptyset which are not in A.

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings. What are the members of $\emptyset \setminus A$? By definition, they are the objects that are in \emptyset which are not in A. Are there any such objects?

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings. What are the members of $\emptyset \setminus A$? By definition, they are the objects that are in \emptyset which are not in A. Are there any such objects? If there is one, then that object is in \emptyset , which is impossible since \emptyset contains no elements at all!

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings. What are the members of $\emptyset \setminus A$? By definition, they are the objects that are in \emptyset which are not in A. Are there any such objects? If there is one, then that object is in \emptyset , which is impossible since \emptyset contains no elements at all! Thus $\emptyset \setminus A$ contains no members, i.e., $\emptyset \setminus A = \emptyset$.

Let A be any set. What is $\emptyset \setminus A$?

Solution You may feel a bit fuzzy about this one, since I didn't explicitly define the set A for you. As I said in the previous lecture notes, this is where you need to use definitions to get your bearings. What are the members of $\emptyset \setminus A$? By definition, they are the objects that are in \emptyset which are not in A. Are there any such objects? If there is one, then that object is in \emptyset , which is impossible since \emptyset contains no elements at all! Thus $\emptyset \setminus A$ contains no members, i.e., $\emptyset \setminus A = \emptyset$.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\varnothing\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\varnothing\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\varnothing\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\varnothing\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}.$

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. It remains to find $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. It remains to find $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Again, remember that "dumping out" the empty set adds no new elements, and so $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \emptyset\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. It remains to find $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Again, remember that "dumping out" the empty set adds no new elements, and so $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \emptyset\}$.

Some of you may have seen so-called "Venn diagrams" for visualizing set-theoretic operations.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. It remains to find $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Again, remember that "dumping out" the empty set adds no new elements, and so $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \emptyset\}$.

Some of you may have seen so-called "Venn diagrams" for visualizing set-theoretic operations. I'm purposefully not going into these in this course, since the next goal is to work on writing proofs using the above definitions.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. It remains to find $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Again, remember that "dumping out" the empty set adds no new elements, and so $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \emptyset\}$.

Some of you may have seen so-called "Venn diagrams" for visualizing set-theoretic operations. I'm purposefully not going into these in this course, since the next goal is to work on writing proofs using the above definitions. For this purpose, knowing the logical structure of the definitions is what will guide you through the proofs, not the diagrams.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset\}$. What is $(\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B) \cup C$?

Solution Recall that I defined $\mathcal{P}(A)$ in the previous set of lecture notes and also computed it. The idea is that we first perform what's in the parentheses and work outward. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap B = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. It remains to find $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Again, remember that "dumping out" the empty set adds no new elements, and so $\{\{1\}, \{2\}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \emptyset\}$.

Some of you may have seen so-called "Venn diagrams" for visualizing set-theoretic operations. I'm purposefully not going into these in this course, since the next goal is to work on writing proofs using the above definitions. For this purpose, knowing the logical structure of the definitions is what will guide you through the proofs, not the diagrams.