

Math 3410 Lecture 22

Greg Oman

University of Colorado
Colorado Springs

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general idea is the following: let us say that c is a real number.

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general idea is the following: let us say that c is a real number. We want to formalize the following:

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general idea is the following: let us say that c is a real number. We want to formalize the following:

(*) as x gets arbitrarily close to BUT DIFFERENT FROM c , the function values $f(x)$ get arbitrarily close to a fixed real number L .

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general idea is the following: let us say that c is a real number. We want to formalize the following:

(*) as x gets arbitrarily close to BUT DIFFERENT FROM c , the function values $f(x)$ get arbitrarily close to a fixed real number L .

An important point is the following:

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general idea is the following: let us say that c is a real number. We want to formalize the following:

(*) as x gets arbitrarily close to BUT DIFFERENT FROM c , the function values $f(x)$ get arbitrarily close to a fixed real number L .

An important point is the following: WE DO NOT CARE WHAT HAPPENS TO THE FUNCTION **AT** c ; we care about what (if anything) the function is getting close to as x gets arbitrarily close to but not equal to c .

Limits of Functions

Today, we introduce limits in the context of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general idea is the following: let us say that c is a real number. We want to formalize the following:

(*) as x gets arbitrarily close to BUT DIFFERENT FROM c , the function values $f(x)$ get arbitrarily close to a fixed real number L .

An important point is the following: WE DO NOT CARE WHAT HAPPENS TO THE FUNCTION **AT** c ; we care about what (if anything) the function is getting close to as x gets arbitrarily close to but not equal to c .

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$.

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, .99999 is 'close to' 1.

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(..99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 .

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$.

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$. Again, consider $.99999$. Note that $f(.99999) = .99999^2 = .9999800001$.

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$. Again, consider $.99999$. Note that $f(.99999) = .99999^2 = .9999800001$. Note that the output is slightly smaller than $.99999$, but still very close to 1 .

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$. Again, consider $.99999$. Note that $f(.99999) = .99999^2 = .9999800001$. Note that the output is slightly smaller than $.99999$, but still very close to 1 . Of course, this is just one data point, but hopefully you feel it reasonable for the limit as x approaches 1 of $f(x)$ to be 1 .

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$. Again, consider $.99999$. Note that $f(.99999) = .99999^2 = .9999800001$. Note that the output is slightly smaller than $.99999$, but still very close to 1 . Of course, this is just one data point, but hopefully you feel it reasonable for the limit as x approaches 1 of $f(x)$ to be 1 .

I've emphasized that we care about what happens when x is CLOSE to c , but we don't care about what happens AT c .

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$. Again, consider $.99999$. Note that $f(.99999) = .99999^2 = .9999800001$. Note that the output is slightly smaller than $.99999$, but still very close to 1 . Of course, this is just one data point, but hopefully you feel it reasonable for the limit as x approaches 1 of $f(x)$ to be 1 .

I've emphasized that we care about what happens when x is CLOSE to c , but we don't care about what happens AT c . There is something else that I want to emphasize here.

Limits of Functions

Let's pause to look at an example.

Example

Let $f(x) = x$, and let $c = 1$. Note that, in a sense, $.99999$ is 'close to' 1 . Now observe that $f(.99999) = .99999$ is also close to 1 . So whatever our limit definition is, we would hope that it tells us that the limit as x gets arbitrarily close to (but different from) 1 of $f(x)$ is 1 .

Example

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $c = 1$. Again, consider $.99999$. Note that $f(.99999) = .99999^2 = .9999800001$. Note that the output is slightly smaller than $.99999$, but still very close to 1 . Of course, this is just one data point, but hopefully you feel it reasonable for the limit as x approaches 1 of $f(x)$ to be 1 .

I've emphasized that we care about what happens when x is CLOSE to c , but we don't care about what happens AT c . There is something else that I want to emphasize here.

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function.

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1?

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1? What happens when x gets really close to 1, but x is always GREATER THAN 1?

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1? What happens when x gets really close to 1, but x is always GREATER THAN 1?

You should see that when x is really close to 1 but less than 1, $f(x)$ is close to 1.

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1? What happens when x gets really close to 1, but x is always GREATER THAN 1?

You should see that when x is really close to 1 but less than 1, $f(x)$ is close to 1. But when x is really close to 1 but greater than 1, x is really close to 2.

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1? What happens when x gets really close to 1, but x is always GREATER THAN 1?

You should see that when x is really close to 1 but less than 1, $f(x)$ is close to 1. But when x is really close to 1 but greater than 1, x is really close to 2. So what should the limit as x approaches 1 be?

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1? What happens when x gets really close to 1, but x is always GREATER THAN 1?

You should see that when x is really close to 1 but less than 1, $f(x)$ is close to 1. But when x is really close to 1 but greater than 1, x is really close to 2. So what should the limit as x approaches 1 be? Note that if we want limits to be unique, we really can't define the limit in a reasonable way.

Limits of Functions

Example

Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ if $x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = x + 1$ if $x > 1$. Take a moment to graph this function. What happens when x gets really close to $c = 1$, but x is always LESS than 1? What happens when x gets really close to 1, but x is always GREATER THAN 1?

You should see that when x is really close to 1 but less than 1, $f(x)$ is close to 1. But when x is really close to 1 but greater than 1, x is really close to 2. So what should the limit as x approaches 1 be? Note that if we want limits to be unique, we really can't define the limit in a reasonable way.

Limits of Functions

So here's the main preliminary point I want to make:

Limits of Functions

So here's the main preliminary point I want to make: our goal is to formalize the notion that $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to a real number L as x gets close to a real number c .

- 1 WE DO NOT CARE **AT ALL** about what $f(c)$ is; we only care about what $f(x)$ is getting close to (if anything) when x is CLOSE TO BUT NOT EQUAL TO c .

Limits of Functions

So here's the main preliminary point I want to make: our goal is to formalize the notion that $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to a real number L as x gets close to a real number c .

- 1 WE DO NOT CARE **AT ALL** about what $f(c)$ is; we only care about what $f(x)$ is getting close to (if anything) when x is CLOSE TO BUT NOT EQUAL TO c .
- 2 We want $f(x)$ to get close to L (here, L should be thought of as the limit we have yet to define) as x gets close to c ON EITHER SIDE OF c (that is, for $f(x)$ to get arbitrarily close to L as x is close to c but less than c AND as x gets arbitrarily close to c but greater than c).

Limits of Functions

So here's the main preliminary point I want to make: our goal is to formalize the notion that $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to a real number L as x gets close to a real number c .

- 1 WE DO NOT CARE **AT ALL** about what $f(c)$ is; we only care about what $f(x)$ is getting close to (if anything) when x is CLOSE TO BUT NOT EQUAL TO c .
- 2 We want $f(x)$ to get close to L (here, L should be thought of as the limit we have yet to define) as x gets close to c ON EITHER SIDE OF c (that is, for $f(x)$ to get arbitrarily close to L as x is close to c but less than c AND as x gets arbitrarily close to c but greater than c).

So now, without further ado, let's see the formal definition.

Limits of Functions

So here's the main preliminary point I want to make: our goal is to formalize the notion that $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to a real number L as x gets close to a real number c .

- 1 WE DO NOT CARE **AT ALL** about what $f(c)$ is; we only care about what $f(x)$ is getting close to (if anything) when x is CLOSE TO BUT NOT EQUAL TO c .
- 2 We want $f(x)$ to get close to L (here, L should be thought of as the limit we have yet to define) as x gets close to c ON EITHER SIDE OF c (that is, for $f(x)$ to get arbitrarily close to L as x is close to c but less than c AND as x gets arbitrarily close to c but greater than c).

So now, without further ado, let's see the formal definition.

Definition

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we say that **the limit as x approaches c of $f(x)$ is L** , and write $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$, provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

So here's the main preliminary point I want to make: our goal is to formalize the notion that $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to a real number L as x gets close to a real number c .

- 1 WE DO NOT CARE **AT ALL** about what $f(c)$ is; we only care about what $f(x)$ is getting close to (if anything) when x is CLOSE TO BUT NOT EQUAL TO c .
- 2 We want $f(x)$ to get close to L (here, L should be thought of as the limit we have yet to define) as x gets close to c ON EITHER SIDE OF c (that is, for $f(x)$ to get arbitrarily close to L as x is close to c but less than c AND as x gets arbitrarily close to c but greater than c).

So now, without further ado, let's see the formal definition.

Definition

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we say that **the limit as x approaches c of $f(x)$ is L** , and write $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$, provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L .

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x .

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must produce a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x , if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must produce a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x , if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Think about the definition of f .

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must produce a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x , if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Think about the definition of f . Do you see what might work for δ ?

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must produce a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x , if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Think about the definition of f . Do you see what might work for δ ? We can choose ANY positive real number for δ here.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must produce a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x , if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Think about the definition of f . Do you see what might work for δ ? We can choose ANY positive real number for δ here. Indeed, let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. Now suppose that x is any real number and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$.

Limits of Functions

What the limit definition is saying, more informally, is the following: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \neq c$ is a real number within a distance of δ of c , then $f(x)$ is within a distance of ϵ of L . Let's pause to check out two very simple examples.

Example (Function Limit Law 1)

Let L be an arbitrary real number, and consider the constant function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = L$ for every real number x . Then for any real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Proof.

Let L and c be arbitrary real numbers. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must produce a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x , if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Think about the definition of f . Do you see what might work for δ ? We can choose ANY positive real number for δ here. Indeed, let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. Now suppose that x is any real number and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. Then note that $|f(x) - L| = |L - L| = 0 < \epsilon$, and we are done. \square

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x .

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary.

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number.

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number. Can you see what we can choose δ to be here?

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number. Can you see what we can choose δ to be here? Let $\delta = \epsilon$.

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number. Can you see what we can choose δ to be here? Let $\delta = \epsilon$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $0 < |x - c| < \epsilon$.

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number. Can you see what we can choose δ to be here? Let $\delta = \epsilon$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. We must show that $|f(x) - c| < \epsilon$, that is, $|x - c| < \epsilon$, which is clearly true, and the proof is complete. □

Example (Function Limit Law 2)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x . Then for every real number c , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = c$.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for every real number x and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number. Can you see what we can choose δ to be here? Let $\delta = \epsilon$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $0 < |x - c| < \epsilon$. We must show that $|f(x) - c| < \epsilon$, that is, $|x - c| < \epsilon$, which is clearly true, and the proof is complete. □

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is. This theorem is usually stated in the form of an if and only if statement, but I am going to break it into two separate theorems.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is. This theorem is usually stated in the form of an if and only if statement, but I am going to break it into two separate theorems.

Theorem (Functions to Sequences Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is. This theorem is usually stated in the form of an if and only if statement, but I am going to break it into two separate theorems.

Theorem (Functions to Sequences Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is. This theorem is usually stated in the form of an if and only if statement, but I am going to break it into two separate theorems.

Theorem (Functions to Sequences Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for any natural number n and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is. This theorem is usually stated in the form of an if and only if statement, but I am going to break it into two separate theorems.

Theorem (Functions to Sequences Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for any natural number n and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. Then $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$.

Limits of Functions

Our next theorem is of paramount importance. It allows us to translate theorems about limits of functions to theorems about sequences and vice-versa. The upshot is that we can use the material on limits of sequences to prove statements about limit of functions. I can't overemphasize how useful this result is. This theorem is usually stated in the form of an if and only if statement, but I am going to break it into two separate theorems.

Theorem (Functions to Sequences Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for any natural number n and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. Then $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. We will show that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. We will show that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. So let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. We will show that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. So let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$, there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that (*) for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. We will show that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. So let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$, there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that (*) for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Now, because $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every natural number n , it follows that there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every natural number $n \geq k$, we have $0 < |x_n - c| < \delta$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. We will show that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. So let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$, there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that (*) for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Now, because $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every natural number n , it follows that there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every natural number $n \geq k$, we have $0 < |x_n - c| < \delta$. Thus for $n \geq k$, from (*), we deduce that $|f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$. Now let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n \neq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$. We will show that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. So let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$, there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that (*) for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Now, because $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every natural number n , it follows that there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every natural number $n \geq k$, we have $0 < |x_n - c| < \delta$. Thus for $n \geq k$, from (*), we deduce that $|f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon$. This proves that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$, as desired. \square

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. So (*) for every positive integer n , there is a real number x_n such that $0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ but (**) $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. So (*) for every positive integer n , there is a real number x_n such that $0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ but (**) $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon$. Consider the sequence (x_n) .

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. So (*) for every positive integer n , there is a real number x_n such that $0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ but (***) $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon$. Consider the sequence (x_n) . It follows from (*) that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. So (*) for every positive integer n , there is a real number x_n such that $0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ but (***) $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon$. Consider the sequence (x_n) . It follows from (*) that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By our assumption above, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$.

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. So (*) for every positive integer n , there is a real number x_n such that $0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ but (***) $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon$. Consider the sequence (x_n) . It follows from (*) that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By our assumption above, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. But this is contradicted by (**). □

Limits of Functions

We now prove the converse of the previous theorem.

Theorem (Sequences to Functions Theorem)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ as well.

Proof.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $c, L \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for EVERY sequence (x_n) with the property that $x_n \neq c$ for every n AND for which $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \neq L$. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number x such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, but $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. So (*) for every positive integer n , there is a real number x_n such that $0 < |x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ but (**) $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon$. Consider the sequence (x_n) . It follows from (*) that $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $x_n \neq c$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By our assumption above, we have $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$. But this is contradicted by (**). □

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$, then $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$, then $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Similarly, there exists a real number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all real numbers x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$, then $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$, then $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Similarly, there exists a real number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all real numbers x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$, then $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Now let $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, and suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$, then $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Similarly, there exists a real number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all real numbers x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$, then $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Now let $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, and suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. Then note that both $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$, then $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Similarly, there exists a real number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all real numbers x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$, then $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Now let $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, and suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. Then note that both $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$. Thus $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Limits of Functions

We can use the previous results to establish analogs of the limit laws for sequences in the function environment.

Theorem (Function Limit Law 3)

Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof 1.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as stated above. We will give a direct $\epsilon - \delta$ proof first. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$, then $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Similarly, there exists a real number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all real numbers x : if $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$, then $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Now let $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, and suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. Then note that both $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$ and $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$. Thus $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Hence $|(f + g)(x) - (L + M)| = |f(x) - L + g(x) - M| \leq |f(x) - L| + |g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$, and the proof is complete. □

Limits of Functions

Proof 2.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as above.

Limits of Functions

Proof 2.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as above. Let $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, where (x_n) is a sequence for which $x_n \neq c$ for all natural numbers n .

Limits of Functions

Proof 2.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as above. Let $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, where (x_n) is a sequence for which $x_n \neq c$ for all natural numbers n . By the Functions to Sequences Theorem, we know that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$ and $(g(x_n)) \rightarrow M$.

Limits of Functions

Proof 2.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as above. Let $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, where (x_n) is a sequence for which $x_n \neq c$ for all natural numbers n . By the Functions to Sequences Theorem, we know that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$ and $(g(x_n)) \rightarrow M$. By the limit laws for sequences, we see that $(f(x_n) + g(x_n)) = ((f + g)(x_n)) \rightarrow L + M$.

Limits of Functions

Proof 2.

Let f, g, c, L, M be as above. Let $(x_n) \rightarrow c$, where (x_n) is a sequence for which $x_n \neq c$ for all natural numbers n . By the Functions to Sequences Theorem, we know that $(f(x_n)) \rightarrow L$ and $(g(x_n)) \rightarrow M$. By the limit laws for sequences, we see that $(f(x_n) + g(x_n)) = ((f + g)(x_n)) \rightarrow L + M$. By the Sequences to Functions Theorem, it follows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g)(x) = L + M$. \square

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that

$$|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|.$$

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x+1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$?

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then $0 < |x - 1| < \delta \leq 1$, so $0 < |x - 1| < 1$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then $0 < |x - 1| < \delta \leq 1$, so $0 < |x - 1| < 1$. Now, $|x - 1| < 1$ is equivalent to $-1 < x - 1 < 1$, and so $1 < x + 1 < 3$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then $0 < |x - 1| < \delta \leq 1$, so $0 < |x - 1| < 1$. Now, $|x - 1| < 1$ is equivalent to $-1 < x - 1 < 1$, and so $1 < x + 1 < 3$. Thus $|x + 1| = x + 1$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then $|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then $0 < |x - 1| < \delta \leq 1$, so $0 < |x - 1| < 1$. Now, $|x - 1| < 1$ is equivalent to $-1 < x - 1 < 1$, and so $1 < x + 1 < 3$. Thus $|x + 1| = x + 1$. We have $|x - 1| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, and so $|x - 1||x + 1| = |x - 1|(x + 1) < \frac{\epsilon(x+1)}{3}$ and $\frac{\epsilon(x+1)}{3} < \epsilon$.

Limits of Functions

Example

Give a direct proof that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} x^2 = 1$.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We must find a $\delta > 0$ such that for every real number x : if $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$, then $|x^2 - 1| < \epsilon$. Note that

$|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1|$. OK, so now suppose that we have a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then if $x \neq -1$, then

$|x - 1||x + 1| < \delta|x + 1|$. Can we find a δ such that $\delta|x + 1| < \epsilon$, that is $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{|x + 1|}$, whenever $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$? Note that if x is within a distance of 1 from 1, then $x + 1$ is within a distance of 1 from 2, that is, $1 < x + 1 < 3$. So

we can take $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$. Then $0 < |x - 1| < \delta \leq 1$, so $0 < |x - 1| < 1$. Now, $|x - 1| < 1$ is equivalent to

$-1 < x - 1 < 1$, and so $1 < x + 1 < 3$. Thus $|x + 1| = x + 1$. We have $|x - 1| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, and so $|x - 1||x + 1| = |x - 1|(x + 1) < \frac{\epsilon(x + 1)}{3}$ and $\frac{\epsilon(x + 1)}{3} < \epsilon$.

This shows that $|x^2 - 1| = |(x + 1)(x - 1)| = |x + 1||x - 1| < \epsilon$, and the proof is complete. □