

Math 3410 Lecture 25

Greg Oman

University of Colorado
Colorado Springs

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem.

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem. This proof will be different than what is included in the text, and will involve so-called 'topological' ideas.

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem. This proof will be different than what is included in the text, and will involve so-called 'topological' ideas. But rest assured that the argument will be completely self-contained.

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem. This proof will be different than what is included in the text, and will involve so-called 'topological' ideas. But rest assured that the argument will be completely self-contained. We begin with the following definition.

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem. This proof will be different than what is included in the text, and will involve so-called 'topological' ideas. But rest assured that the argument will be completely self-contained. We begin with the following definition.

Definition

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$.

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem. This proof will be different than what is included in the text, and will involve so-called 'topological' ideas. But rest assured that the argument will be completely self-contained. We begin with the following definition.

Definition

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. The so-called ϵ ball centered at r and denoted by $B_\epsilon(r)$, is defined by $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - r| < \epsilon\}$.

We will conclude this course with a proof of the so-called Intermediate Value Theorem. This proof will be different than what is included in the text, and will involve so-called 'topological' ideas. But rest assured that the argument will be completely self-contained. We begin with the following definition.

Definition

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. The so-called ϵ ball centered at r and denoted by $B_\epsilon(r)$, is defined by $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - r| < \epsilon\}$.

So the elements of $B_\epsilon(r)$ are the real numbers which are a distance of less than ϵ from r . Again, remember (this is important!) that $|x - r| < \epsilon$ is equivalent to $-\epsilon < x - r < \epsilon$, that is, $r - \epsilon < x < r + \epsilon$.

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$.

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$. This is the set of all real numbers that are a distance of less than 1 from the number 2.

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$. This is the set of all real numbers that are a distance of less than 1 from the number 2. So $B_1(2) = (1, 3)$ (the open interval from 1 to 3).

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$. This is the set of all real numbers that are a distance of less than 1 from the number 2. So $B_1(2) = (1, 3)$ (the open interval from 1 to 3).

Definition

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$. This is the set of all real numbers that are a distance of less than 1 from the number 2. So $B_1(2) = (1, 3)$ (the open interval from 1 to 3).

Definition

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then we say that S is an **open set** provided that for every $s \in S$, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(s) \subseteq S$.

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$. This is the set of all real numbers that are a distance of less than 1 from the number 2. So $B_1(2) = (1, 3)$ (the open interval from 1 to 3).

Definition

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then we say that S is an **open set** provided that for every $s \in S$, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(s) \subseteq S$.

Example

Let $a < b$ be real numbers. Then the interval (a, b) is an open set.

Example

Consider $B_1(2)$. This is the set of all real numbers that are a distance of less than 1 from the number 2. So $B_1(2) = (1, 3)$ (the open interval from 1 to 3).

Definition

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then we say that S is an **open set** provided that for every $s \in S$, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(s) \subseteq S$.

Example

Let $a < b$ be real numbers. Then the interval (a, b) is an open set.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. This means that $|y - x| < \epsilon$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. This means that $|y - x| < \epsilon$. So we know that, by definition of ϵ , $|y - x| < x - a$, meaning $a - x < y - x < x - a$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. This means that $|y - x| < \epsilon$. So we know that, by definition of ϵ , $|y - x| < x - a$, meaning $a - x < y - x < x - a$. The first inequality is that $a - x < y - x$, and so $a < y$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. This means that $|y - x| < \epsilon$. So we know that, by definition of ϵ , $|y - x| < x - a$, meaning $a - x < y - x < x - a$. The first inequality is that $a - x < y - x$, and so $a < y$. But also $|y - x| < b - x$, and so $y - x < b - x$, and $y < b$.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. This means that $|y - x| < \epsilon$. So we know that, by definition of ϵ , $|y - x| < x - a$, meaning $a - x < y - x < x - a$. The first inequality is that $a - x < y - x$, and so $a < y$. But also $|y - x| < b - x$, and so $y - x < b - x$, and $y < b$. Hence $a < y < b$, so $y \in (a, b)$, as desired.

Proof.

Let $a < b$ be real. Now let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. We must find a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. We will show that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$. Toward this end, let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. This means that $|y - x| < \epsilon$. So we know that, by definition of ϵ , $|y - x| < x - a$, meaning $a - x < y - x < x - a$. The first inequality is that $a - x < y - x$, and so $a < y$. But also $|y - x| < b - x$, and so $y - x < b - x$, and $y < b$. Hence $a < y < b$, so $y \in (a, b)$, as desired. □

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real.

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real. Then $[a, b]$ is not open.

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real. Then $[a, b]$ is not open.

Proof.

Let $a \leq b$ be real, and assume by way of contradiction that $[a, b]$ is open. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(b) \subseteq [a, b]$, that is, $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : b - \epsilon < x < b + \epsilon\} \subseteq [a, b]$.

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real. Then $[a, b]$ is not open.

Proof.

Let $a \leq b$ be real, and assume by way of contradiction that $[a, b]$ is open. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(b) \subseteq [a, b]$, that is, $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : b - \epsilon < x < b + \epsilon\} \subseteq [a, b]$. By the Density Theorem (of the reals, not the rationals), there is a real number r such that $b < r < b + \epsilon$.

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real. Then $[a, b]$ is not open.

Proof.

Let $a \leq b$ be real, and assume by way of contradiction that $[a, b]$ is open. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(b) \subseteq [a, b]$, that is, $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : b - \epsilon < x < b + \epsilon\} \subseteq [a, b]$. By the Density Theorem (of the reals, not the rationals), there is a real number r such that $b < r < b + \epsilon$. Thus certainly we have $b - \epsilon < r < b + \epsilon$, so $r \in [a, b]$.

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real. Then $[a, b]$ is not open.

Proof.

Let $a \leq b$ be real, and assume by way of contradiction that $[a, b]$ is open. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(b) \subseteq [a, b]$, that is, $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : b - \epsilon < x < b + \epsilon\} \subseteq [a, b]$. By the Density Theorem (of the reals, not the rationals), there is a real number r such that $b < r < b + \epsilon$. Thus certainly we have $b - \epsilon < r < b + \epsilon$, so $r \in [a, b]$. But this is a contradiction since $b < r$. □

Example

Consider an interval of the form $[a, b]$, where $a \leq b$ are real. Then $[a, b]$ is not open.

Proof.

Let $a \leq b$ be real, and assume by way of contradiction that $[a, b]$ is open. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(b) \subseteq [a, b]$, that is, $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : b - \epsilon < x < b + \epsilon\} \subseteq [a, b]$. By the Density Theorem (of the reals, not the rationals), there is a real number r such that $b < r < b + \epsilon$. Thus certainly we have $b - \epsilon < r < b + \epsilon$, so $r \in [a, b]$. But this is a contradiction since $b < r$. □

IVT

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of 'real interval'.

IVT

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of 'real interval'. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that 'hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes'.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,

IVT

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,
- 5 $[a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r\}$,

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,
- 5 $[a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r\}$,
- 6 $(a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,
- 5 $[a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r\}$,
- 6 $(a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 7 $[a, b] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r \leq b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,
- 5 $[a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r\}$,
- 6 $(a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 7 $(a, b] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r \leq b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 8 $[a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$), and

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,
- 5 $[a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r\}$,
- 6 $(a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 7 $(a, b] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r \leq b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 8 $[a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$), and
- 9 $[a, b] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r \leq b\}$ (where $a \leq b$).

Our next goal is to give an exhaustive definition of ‘real interval’. Intuitively, a real interval is a subset of the reals that ‘hangs together in one piece without spaces or holes’.

Definition

The following are the real intervals:

- 1 $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 2 $(-\infty, a) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < a\}$,
- 3 $(-\infty, a] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq a\}$,
- 4 $(a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r\}$,
- 5 $[a, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r\}$,
- 6 $(a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 7 $(a, b] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a < r \leq b\}$ (where $a \leq b$),
- 8 $[a, b) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r < b\}$ (where $a \leq b$), and
- 9 $[a, b] = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq r \leq b\}$ (where $a \leq b$).

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$.

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in (-\infty, a)$ and $x < y$ and that $x < z < y$.

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in (-\infty, a)$ and $x < y$ and that $x < z < y$. Since $y \in (-\infty, a)$, by definition, $y < a$.

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in (-\infty, a)$ and $x < y$ and that $x < z < y$. Since $y \in (-\infty, a)$, by definition, $y < a$. Now, $x < z < y$, and so $z < y < a$.

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in (-\infty, a)$ and $x < y$ and that $x < z < y$. Since $y \in (-\infty, a)$, by definition, $y < a$. Now, $x < z < y$, and so $z < y < a$. By transitivity, $z < a$.

IVT

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in (-\infty, a)$ and $x < y$ and that $x < z < y$. Since $y \in (-\infty, a)$, by definition, $y < a$. Now, $x < z < y$, and so $z < y < a$. By transitivity, $z < a$. It follows that $z \in (-\infty, a)$. □

IVT

An important property enjoyed by all the intervals I defined in (1)-(9) is the following:

(*) If I is an interval and if $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$, then $z \in I$ for every real z with $x < z < y$.

I am not going to prove this for all of the intervals above (I may relegate some of this to the hw), but let's prove this for intervals of type (2) from the definition.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the interval $(-\infty, a)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in (-\infty, a)$ and $x < y$ and that $x < z < y$. Since $y \in (-\infty, a)$, by definition, $y < a$. Now, $x < z < y$, and so $z < y < a$. By transitivity, $z < a$. It follows that $z \in (-\infty, a)$. □

What is much less obvious (but WAY more interesting) is that if S is ANY subset of \mathbb{R} which satisfies property (*), then S is an interval.

What is much less obvious (but WAY more interesting) is that if S is ANY subset of \mathbb{R} which satisfies property (*), then S is an interval. Thus (*) classifies all subsets of \mathbb{R} which are intervals.

What is much less obvious (but WAY more interesting) is that if S is ANY subset of \mathbb{R} which satisfies property (*), then S is an interval. Thus (*) classifies all subsets of \mathbb{R} which are intervals. Due to time/length, I also won't prove this in its full generality, but I will prove a partial result (again, I may relegate some of this to the homework).

What is much less obvious (but WAY more interesting) is that if S is ANY subset of \mathbb{R} which satisfies property (*), then S is an interval. Thus (*) classifies all subsets of \mathbb{R} which are intervals. Due to time/length, I also won't prove this in its full generality, but I will prove a partial result (again, I may relegate some of this to the homework).

Theorem (Interval Characterization Theorem)

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then S is an interval if and only if for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$.

What is much less obvious (but WAY more interesting) is that if S is ANY subset of \mathbb{R} which satisfies property (*), then S is an interval. Thus (*) classifies all subsets of \mathbb{R} which are intervals. Due to time/length, I also won't prove this in its full generality, but I will prove a partial result (again, I may relegate some of this to the homework).

Theorem (Interval Characterization Theorem)

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then S is an interval if and only if for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Since S is not bounded above, there is some $z \in S$ such that $y < z$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Since S is not bounded above, there is some $z \in S$ such that $y < z$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $x \in S$ such that $x < y$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval.

There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Since S is not bounded above, there is some $z \in S$ such that $y < z$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $x \in S$ such that $x < y$. Thus $x < y < z$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Since S is not bounded above, there is some $z \in S$ such that $y < z$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $x \in S$ such that $x < y$. Thus $x < y < z$. Since S has property (*), we see that $y \in S$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Since S is not bounded above, there is some $z \in S$ such that $y < z$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $x \in S$ such that $x < y$. Thus $x < y < z$. Since S has property (*), we see that $y \in S$. Since y was an arbitrary real number, $y \in S$.

Partial Proof of reverse implication.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that S has the property that (*) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$: if $x, z \in S$ and $x < y < z$, then $y \in S$. We will show that S is an interval. There are many cases to consider.

Case 1: S is bounded neither above nor below. In this case, we will show that $S = (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Since S is not bounded above, there is some $z \in S$ such that $y < z$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $x \in S$ such that $x < y$. Thus $x < y < z$. Since S has property (*), we see that $y \in S$. Since y was an arbitrary real number, $y \in S$.



Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in (-\infty, b]$. If $x = b$, then $x \in S$ since $b \in S$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in (-\infty, b]$. If $x = b$, then $x \in S$ since $b \in S$. So suppose that $x < b$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in (-\infty, b]$. If $x = b$, then $x \in S$ since $b \in S$. So suppose that $x < b$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $s \in S$ such that $s < x$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in (-\infty, b]$. If $x = b$, then $x \in S$ since $b \in S$. So suppose that $x < b$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $s \in S$ such that $s < x$. So $s < x < b$ and both $s, b \in S$.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in (-\infty, b]$. If $x = b$, then $x \in S$ since $b \in S$. So suppose that $x < b$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $s \in S$ such that $s < x$. So $s < x < b$ and both $s, b \in S$. Since S has property (*), $x \in S$, and this proves it in this case.

Proof.

Case 2: S is bounded above but not below. Since S is not bounded below, S is nonempty, and so since S is bounded above, $b = \sup(S)$ exists. Now, either $b \in S$ or $b \notin S$. Suppose first that $b \in S$. We will show that $S = (-\infty, b]$. Let $s \in S$. Since $b = \sup(S)$, $s \leq b$, and so $s \in (-\infty, b]$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in (-\infty, b]$. If $x = b$, then $x \in S$ since $b \in S$. So suppose that $x < b$. Since S is not bounded below, there is $s \in S$ such that $s < x$. So $s < x < b$ and both $s, b \in S$. Since S has property (*), $x \in S$, and this proves it in this case. If $b \notin S$, then one shows that $S = (-\infty, b)$. □

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT.

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$.

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x .

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\})$?

Solution.

$$f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} = \emptyset.$$



IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\})$?

Solution.

$$f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$ for all real x .

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\})$?

Solution.

$$f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}([0, 1])$?

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\})$?

Solution.

$$f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}([0, 1])$?

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\})$?

Solution.

$$f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}([0, 1])$?

Proof.

$$f^{-1}([0, 1]) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: x^2 \in [0, 1]\} = [-1, 1]. \quad \square$$

IVT

We are almost ready to present the IVT. First, we require a couple of lemmas.

Definition

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function, where A and B are sets, and let $S \subseteq B$. Then we define $f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in A: f(x) \in S\}$.

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = 2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\})$?

Solution.

$$f^{-1}(\{3, 4, 5\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Example

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$ for all real x . What is $f^{-1}([0, 1])$?

Proof.

$$f^{-1}([0, 1]) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: x^2 \in [0, 1]\} = [-1, 1]. \quad \square$$

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x): x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Now, f is continuous at c , so $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Now, f is continuous at c , so $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. So there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Now, f is continuous at c , so $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. So there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$. We claim that $B_\delta(c) \subseteq f^{-1}(O)$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Now, f is continuous at c , so $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. So there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$. We claim that $B_\delta(c) \subseteq f^{-1}(O)$. Indeed, let $x \in B_\delta(c)$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Now, f is continuous at c , so $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. So there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$. We claim that $B_\delta(c) \subseteq f^{-1}(O)$. Indeed, let $x \in B_\delta(c)$. Then $|x - c| < \delta$, and so by the above, $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$, that is, $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$.

Lemma

Let I be an interval, and suppose that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If O is an open subset of $f[I] = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is an open subset of I .

Proof.

Let f, I , and O be as stated. Now let $c \in f^{-1}(O)$. Then $f(c) \in O$, which is open. Thus there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Now, f is continuous at c , so $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. So there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$. We claim that $B_\delta(c) \subseteq f^{-1}(O)$. Indeed, let $x \in B_\delta(c)$. Then $|x - c| < \delta$, and so by the above, $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$, that is, $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(c)) \subseteq O$. Thus $f(x) \in O$, and this means that $x \in f^{-1}(O)$, as desired. \square

Lemma

Let I be an interval.

Lemma

Let I be an interval. Then I cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint, nonempty open subset of \mathbb{R} .

Proof.

Exercise. □

IVT

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

IVT

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated.

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated. Suppose by way of contradiction that $f[I]$ is not an interval.

IVT

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated. Suppose by way of contradiction that $f[I]$ is not an interval. By the Interval Characterization Theorem, there exist $x, z \in f[I]$ with $x < z$ and some y with $x < y < z$ but with $y \notin f[I]$.

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated. Suppose by way of contradiction that $f[I]$ is not an interval. By the Interval Characterization Theorem, there exist $x, z \in f[I]$ with $x < z$ and some y with $x < y < z$ but with $y \notin f[I]$. Now let $O_1 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: f(r) < y\}$ and $O_2 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: y < f(r)\}$.

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated. Suppose by way of contradiction that $f[I]$ is not an interval. By the Interval Characterization Theorem, there exist $x, z \in f[I]$ with $x < z$ and some y with $x < y < z$ but with $y \notin f[I]$. Now let $O_1 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: f(r) < y\}$ and $O_2 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: y < f(r)\}$. Then it can be shown that O_1 and O_2 are open subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $O_1 \cup O_2 = f[I]$.

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated. Suppose by way of contradiction that $f[I]$ is not an interval. By the Interval Characterization Theorem, there exist $x, z \in f[I]$ with $x < z$ and some y with $x < y < z$ but with $y \notin f[I]$. Now let $O_1 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: f(r) < y\}$ and $O_2 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: y < f(r)\}$. Then it can be shown that O_1 and O_2 are open subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $O_1 \cup O_2 = f[I]$. By the second to last lemma, $f^{-1}(O_1)$ and $f^{-1}(O_2)$ are disjoint, nonempty open subsets of I such that $I = f^{-1}(O_1) \cup f^{-1}(O_2)$.

Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Suppose that I is an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Proof.

Let I and f be as stated. Suppose by way of contradiction that $f[I]$ is not an interval. By the Interval Characterization Theorem, there exist $x, z \in f[I]$ with $x < z$ and some y with $x < y < z$ but with $y \notin f[I]$. Now let $O_1 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: f(r) < y\}$ and $O_2 = \{r \in \mathbb{R}: y < f(r)\}$. Then it can be shown that O_1 and O_2 are open subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $O_1 \cup O_2 = f[I]$. By the second to last lemma, $f^{-1}(O_1)$ and $f^{-1}(O_2)$ are disjoint, nonempty open subsets of I such that $I = f^{-1}(O_1) \cup f^{-1}(O_2)$. This contradicts the final lemma above. □