

## Math 3110 Assignment 6 Solutions

(1a)[5 pts] Prove that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$  which are not both 0, we have  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(-a, b)$ . Hint: let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Now use the Characterization of the GCD Theorem to show that  $d = \gcd(-a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers which are not both zero, and let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Then we know from the Char. of the GCD Thm. that

1.  $d > 0$ ,
2.  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ , and
3. for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ : if  $c|a$  and  $c|b$ , then  $c|d$ .

To show that  $d = \gcd(-a, b)$ , we simply need to check that  $d$  satisfies all of the above conditions with  $a$  replaced with  $-a$ . Condition 1. is immediate, since it doesn't involve  $a$ . As for 2., since  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ , by the Divisibility Theorem,  $d|-a$  (and  $d|b$ ), and so the second condition is satisfied. Now for 3. Assume that  $c$  is an integer for which  $c|-a$  and  $c|b$ . Then by the Divisibility Theorem,  $c|a$  and  $c|b$ . Now by 3. above, we see that  $c|d$ . This proves all three conditions and shows that  $d = \gcd(-a, b)$ .  $\square$

(1b)[5 pts] Use (1) to prove that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$  which are not both 0, we have  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, -b)$ . Hint: for any integers  $x$  and  $y$  which are not both 0, we have  $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, x)$ . Your proof should be very short; do NOT just rewrite the proof of (1) here but for  $b$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers which are not both 0. Then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, a)$  (by the hint, which I told you that you didn't have to prove). By (1a),  $\gcd(b, a) = \gcd(-b, a)$ . Now by the hint again,  $\gcd(-b, a) = \gcd(a, -b)$ . This shows that  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, -b)$ .  $\square$

(1c)[5 pts] Use the above to prove that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$  which are not both 0, we have  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(-a, -b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers which are not both 0. By (1a),  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(-a, b)$ . Now by (1b),  $\gcd(-a, b) = \gcd(-a, -b)$ .  $\square$

(2a)[2 pts - completion] Prove that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$  which are both nonzero, we have  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(-a, b)$ . Hint: let  $m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ . Now use the Characterization of the LCM Theorem to show that  $m = \text{lcm}(-a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be nonzero integers. Now let  $m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ . Then  $m$  satisfies

1.  $m > 0$ ,
2.  $a|m$  and  $b|m$ , and
3. for every integer  $c$ : if  $a|c$  and  $b|c$ , then  $m|c$ .

To show that  $m = \text{lcm}(-a, b)$ , we need to check that  $m$  satisfies all of the above conditions with  $a$  replaced with  $-a$ . Now, 1. is immediate. As for 2., we know that  $a|m$  and  $b|m$ . The Div. Thm. implies that  $-a|m$ , and so  $-a|m$  and  $b|m$ . Finally, let  $c$  be an integer, and assume that  $-a|c$  and  $b|c$ . Then as above,  $a|c$ . So now  $a|c$  and  $b|c$ , hence  $m|c$ , as desired.  $\square$

(2b)[2 pts - completion] Use (1) to prove that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$  which are both nonzero, we have  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(a, -b)$ . Hint: for any integers  $x$  and  $y$  which are not both 0, we have  $\text{lcm}(x, y) = \text{lcm}(y, x)$ . Your proof should be very short; do NOT just rewrite the proof of (1) here but for  $b$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers which are both not 0. Then  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(b, a)$  (by the hint, which I told you that you didn't have to prove). By (2a),  $\text{lcm}(b, a) = \text{lcm}(-b, a)$ . Now by the hint again,  $\text{lcm}(-b, a) = \text{lcm}(a, -b)$ . This shows that  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(a, -b)$ .  $\square$

(2)(c)[2 pts - completion] Use the above to prove that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$  which are both nonzero, we have  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(-a, -b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers which are both not 0. By (2a),  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(-a, b)$ . Now by (2b),  $\text{lcm}(-a, b) = \text{lcm}(-a, -b)$ .  $\square$

(3)[10 pts] Use problems (1) and (2) to prove that for any nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$ , we have  $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = |ab|$ . Hint: use cases and the Relating the GCD and the LCM Theorem (February 27 notes), which proves the above in the case that  $a$  and  $b$  are positive. Remember that for any integer  $x$ ,  $|x| = x$  if  $x \geq 0$  and  $|x| = -x$  if  $x < 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be nonzero integers. We will prove the above equation by cases, using (1) and (2).

Case 1:  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ : then  $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab = |ab|$  by the theorem from class.

Case 2:  $a < 0$  and  $b > 0$ : then  $-a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . So by the theorem from class and problems (1) and (2), we have  $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = \gcd(-a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(-a, b) = -ab = |ab|$ .

Case 3:  $a > 0$  and  $b < 0$ : this is analogous to Case 2.

Case 4:  $a < 0$  and  $b < 0$ : then  $-a > 0$  and  $-b > 0$ . Then by the theorem from class and problems (1) and (2),  $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = \gcd(-a, -b) \cdot \text{lcm}(-a, -b) = -a \cdot -b = ab = |ab|$  (since  $a$  and  $b$  are negative,  $ab$  is positive, so  $ab = |ab|$ ).  $\square$

(4)[10 pts] Let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $a$  be any integer. Prove that either  $p|a$  or that  $p$  and  $a$  are relatively prime (for the latter, do NOT try to write 1 as a linear combination of  $p$  and  $a$ ; just use the definition of ‘prime’ and ‘relatively prime.’ You do NOT need any theorems to do this).

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $a$  be an integer. Then either  $p|a$  or  $p \nmid a$ . If  $p|a$ , we are done. So assume that  $p \nmid a$ . The only possible positive common factors of  $p$  and  $a$  are 1 and  $p$  since  $p$  is prime. Since we have assumed that  $p \nmid a$ ,  $p$  is NOT a COMMON factor of  $p$  and  $a$ . This leaves 1 as the only positive common factor of  $p$  and  $a$  is 1, and so  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ .  $\square$

5)[9 pts] Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. Suppose that for any integers  $a$  and  $b$ : if  $n|ab$ , then  $n|a$  or  $n|b$ . Prove that  $n$  is prime. Hint: if  $n$  is not prime, you can use a lemma to help you that I proved on 3/1.

*Proof.* Assume by way of contradiction that there exists an integer  $n > 1$  such that for all integers  $a$  and  $b$ : if  $n|ab$ , then  $n|a$  or  $n|b$ , yet  $n$  is not prime. Then by the lemma referenced above,  $n = rs$  for some integers  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $1 < r, s < n$ . Since  $n \cdot 1 = rs$ , we see that  $n|rs$ . By the above condition,  $n|r$  or  $n|s$ . But then  $n \leq r$  or  $n \leq s$ , contradicting that  $n > r$  and  $n > s$ .  $\square$